

COMMUNITY PROJECT/REZONING

211122

Ordinance Fact Sheet

Ordinance Number

Case No. CD-CPC-2021-00204

Brief Title

A request to amend Chapter 88, street naming to clarify the process for street renaming and approvals for honorary street naming allow for other types of signs to be relocated that are a part of an eminent domain action.

Details

Location: City Wide

Reason for Legislation: To amend Chapter 88, Street Naming to clarify the process for street re-naming and approvals for honorary street naming.

PLAN REVIEW

The proposed code amendment began based on direction from CS Resolution 200838, which directed staff to amend Chapter 88 to provide process for re-naming of streets and for approving authority for honorary street names. The proposed amendment provides a formal process for re-naming of streets including notification of all adjacent landowners and everyone within 300' of the street to be renamed. The city council and the parks board (when streets under their jurisdiction) can initiate renaming a street. City Planning Director has the authority to apply only if the change is to fix an error on a plat. The street naming committee shall review and make recommendation to the city council. Notice shall be made to all adjacent property owners and owners within 300' of the street. The council shall have a public hearing and then with a simple majority vote, choose to approve or deny. The other adjustment is requiring honorary street names be approved by the city council. This is to ensure those being honored meet the city council's desire for individuals that were leaders, community activist or state and national leaders.

CPC RECOMMENDATION

On December 7, 2021 the CPC recommended approval of CD-CPC-2021-00204 without conditions.

Positions/Recommendations

Sponsors	Jeffrey Williams, AICP, Director Department of City Planning & Development
Programs, Departments or Groups Affected	City Wide
Applicants / Proponents	Applicant City Department City Planning & Development Other
Opponents	Groups or Individuals
	Basis of Opposition
Staff Recommendation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For
	<input type="checkbox"/> Against
	Reason Against
Board or Commission Recommendation	City Plan Commission (6-0) 12-07-2021 By Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas, Allender
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For <input type="checkbox"/> Against <input type="checkbox"/> No Action Taken
	<input type="checkbox"/> For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions)
Council Committee Actions	<input type="checkbox"/> Do Pass
	<input type="checkbox"/> Do Pass (as amended)
	<input type="checkbox"/> Committee Sub.
	<input type="checkbox"/> Without Recommendation
	<input type="checkbox"/> Hold
	<input type="checkbox"/> Do not pass

Continued from Page 1

Policy or Program Change	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Operational Impact Assessment	

Finances

Cost & Revenue Projections – Including Indirect Costs	
Financial Impact	
Funding Source(s) and	

--

Appropriation Account Codes	

Continued from Page 2

Fact Sheet Prepared By: Diane Binckley Deputy Director	Date: 12-15-2021	Initial Application Filed:
Reviewed By: Diane Binckley Deputy Director	Date: 12-15-2021	City Plan Commission: 12-07-2021
Reference Numbers: Case No. CD-CPC-2021-00204		Revised Plans Filed: N/A