COMMUNITY PROJECT/ZONING

110067

Ordinance Number

Ordinance Fact Sheet

Case No. 1641-V

Brief Title Approval Deadline Reason

Vacating E 50th Street between Swope Parkway and Cleveland Avenue

Details Details	Positions/Recomme	endations	
Specific Address	Sponsor	Tom Coyle, AICP, Director Department of City Planning and Development	
east side of Swope Parkway about 500 feet south of Blue Parkway	Programs, Departments, or Groups	5th District	
Reason for Legislation	Affected	(Circo, Riley)	
to allow for construction of 36 residential units in the Pemberton Park for Grandfamilies development	Applicants / Proponents	Applicant Dan Roark Roark Surveying 9414 Greenway Lane Lenexa, Kansas 66215 City Department City Development Other	
Discussion (explain all financial aspects of the proposed legislation, including future implications, any direct/indirect costs, specific account numbers, ordinance references, and budget page numbers.) This unconstructed 50 foot wide right of way extends just under 600 feet from Swope Parkway on the west to	Opponents	Groups or Individuals none known Basis of opposition	
Cleveland Avenue on the east. The area abutting the north side is undeveloped and owned by the City. A City fire station abuts the westerly 209 feet of the south right of way line. The balance of the abutting property on the south side is owned by Housing Authority of Kansas City. The applicant's property is the site of an existing 9 story apartment building, with development plan approval for 36 additional units in two structures. Construction is essentially complete, but the platting process is still underway pending, among other things, completion of the vacation. Vacation of E 50 th Street was a condition of approval of Ordinance 080997, passed in October of 2008. Since the right of way does not lie entirely within the proposed plat of Pemberton Park for Grandfamilies, the plat may not vacate it. Therefore, this separate vacation process is necessary. There are no public or private utilities in the right of way to be vacated, so no easement need be retained. The applicant is the sole owner of abutting property, other than the City of Kansas City. The proposed plat of Pemberton Park for Grandfamilies addresses the right of way requirements per the Major Street Plan.	Staff Recommendation	X For without conditions Against Reason Against	
	Board or Commission Recommendation Council Committee Actions	City Plan Commission (7-0) 2-15-11 By (Abend, Asjes, Cook, Hughes Krum, Macy, Myers) X For Against No action taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do pass	
		□ Do pass (as amended) □ Committee Sub. □ Without Recommendation □ Hold □ Do not pass	

(Continued on reverse side)

Details		Policy/Program Impact		
		Policy or Program		
		Change No Yes		
		Operational		
		Impact Assessment		
		Assessment		
		Finances		
		Cost & Revenue		
		Projections		
		Including Indirect		
		Costs		
		Financial Impact		
		Fund Source (s)		
		and Appropriation		
		Account Codes		
		How will this contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?		
		This will facilitate the construction of new housing.		
		Vacation application received		
Fact Sheet Prepared By:	Date: 2-18-11			
Larry H. Stice		CPC approval 2-15-09		
Planner				
Reviewed by:	Date: 2-18-11			

Development Management Reference Numbers

Diane Binckley, Manager

Case No. 1641-V

The procedure for processing vacations will not be changed under the new development code.

DISCUSSION (continued)

CONTRACT

Ordinance Fact Sheet

Brief Title	Approval Deadline	Reason		
Details		Roles and Responsibilities		
Reason for Contract		Sponsor		
		Department or Programs		
		Affected Recommended		
		Awardee		
		Contract Compliance Certification Obtained?	No Yes	
Discussion (explain all financial aspecincluding future implications, any direct/in numbers, ordinance references, and bud	ndirect costs, specific account	Opponents	Groups or Individuals	
			Reason for Opposition	
		Responsibilities	Design Engineering:	
			Inspections:	
			Construction or Project Management:	
			Service Monitoring:	
		Policy/Program I	mpact	
		Policy or Program Emphasis Change	NoYes	
		Operational Impact Assessment		

(Continued on reverse side)

Details		Finances		
		City's Estimate		
		of Cost		\$
		Bid or	Lowest Contract	
		Proposal Data	Cost Submitted	\$
			No .of Proposals Considered	
			Reason for rejecting lowest	t contract cost submitted
			Other Biddens on	0
			Other Bidders or Contractors Considered	Contract Costs Submitted
			Contractors Considered	\$
				\$
				\$
				\$
				\$
				\$
				\$
				\$
				\$
				\$
				\$
				\$
				\$
				\$
		Fund Sources		
		and Appropriation		
		Account Codes		
		For This		
		Contract		
		Source of		
		Future		
		Operating Funds		
		Maximum Amount		
		of Proposed		\$
		Contract Amount of		Ψ
		Contingency		\$
Estimated Duration of Contract:		Engineering &		*
Estimated Buration of Contract.		Administration		\$
				Y
Fact Sheet Prepared by:	Date:	TOTAL		\$
		Council Committe	ee Actions	
Reviewed by:	Date:	Do Pass		Hold
		Do Pass (as amen	ded)	W/o Recommendation
Reference Numbers:		Committee Sub.		Do Not Pass

BUDGET ADJUSTMENT

Ordinance Fact Sheet

Ordinance Number

Brief Title	Approval Deadline	Reason	
Details		Department, Program, o	r Accounts Affected
Reason for Adjustment		Sponsor	
		From/Transferor(s) or Fu	und Title(s)
		, ,	, ,
Discussion (symbol all financial concets		To/Transferee(s) or Fund	d Title(s)
Discussion (explain all financial aspects including future implications, any direct/indirec	rect costs, specific account	. ,	`,
numbers, ordinance references, and budge	t page numbers.)		
		Policy / Program Impact	
		Dalian an Brannan	□ Na □ Vaa
		Policy or Program Emphasis Change	No Yes
		Onematical	
		Operational Impact	
		Assessment	
		Council Committee Action	ons
		Do Pass	Hold
		Do Pass (as amend	ded) w/o Recommendation
		Committee Sub.	Do Not Pass
		Foot Chart was and I	Data
		Fact Sheet prepared by:	Date
		Fact Sheet reviewed by:	Date
		Reference Numbers	