

Ordinance/Resolution # 230552

Submitted Department/Preparer: City Planning

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in Administrative Regulation (AR) 4-1.

### **Executive Summary**

Amending Chapter 88, Code of Ordinances, the Zoning and Development Code pertaining to Temporary Uses, Animal Service uses, and Accessory Dwelling Units.

#### **Discussion**

Accessory Dwelling Units: The ordinance proposes to amend accessory dwelling units only to add one clarification and to provide a maximum building height, which was inadvertantly left out of the ordinance approved last fall authorizing accessory dwelling units. Animal Services: to relax separation requirements when the use is located adjacent to rights-of-way. Temporary Uses: exempting temporary uses on property owned by any taxing jurisdiction, exempting temporary uses in nonresidential districts when located on properties with public/civic uses, hotel/motel, eating and drinking establishments, or with an approved plan allowing such events, clarifying and simplfying the list of authorized temporary uses, adding standards pertaining to all temporary uses, and requiring registration for any temporary use not exempted from this section all for the purposes of facilitating and simplfying process for hosting temporary events in nonresidential zoning districts.

# Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget?

☐ Yes ☒ No

2. What is the funding source?

NA

3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?

NA

4. Does the legislation have fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.

The number of temporary use permits filed, and thus the corresponding filing fee collected, will decline. The fee per temporary use permit is \$271 and the number filed in 2022 was 58, for a total collected of \$15,718. This represents and increase from prior years. This is due to Regulated

Industries referring each customer to City Planning & Development to inquire about whether they need a Temporary Use Permit in addition to any permit or license from Regulated Industries. In many cases, permits and licenses from other departments are also necessary. All of these are

со	ordinated by Evenergy. It has been found by staff, that the majority of Temp	orary Use Pe	rmit	
ар	applications provide little to no added value to the event itself or community benefit. Therefore,			
sta	staff has determined that amendments are necessary to narrow the scope of when temporary use			
pe	rmits are required. This will reduce the number filed ultimately.			
5.	Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or on investment?	leliver a retu	urn on	
N.A	A			
_	yet Review will complete this section.)			
1.	This legislation is supported by the general fund.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
2.	This fund has a structural imbalance.	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
Addit	tional Discussion (if needed)			
NA	A			
	Citywide Business Plan Impact			
N/	A			
Prior Legislation				
No	one known.			

## **Service Level Impacts**

Will improve service levels for those hosting temporary events.

### **Other Impacts**

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?

As this is a zoning ordinance it has not been evaluated against this impact.

2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?

As this is a zoning ordinance it has not been evaluated against this impact.

3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?

As this is a zoning ordinance it has not been evaluated against this impact.

4. Does the ordinance/resolution include Civil Rights antidiscrimination requirements in compliance with the Code of Ordinances (Chapter 38, titled "Civil Rights")?

As this is a zoning ordinance it has not been evaluated against this impact.

5. Has the ordinance/resolution been submitted for review of economic equity & inclusion requirements in compliance with the Code of Ordinances (Chapter 3, titled "Contracts and Leases")?

As this is a zoning ordinance it has not been evaluated against this impact.