



City of Kansas City, Missouri

Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 240435

Submitted Department/Preparer: Public Works

Revised 11/01/23

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

Executive Summary

Resolution expressing support and matching funds for Safe Streets for All (SS4A) Grant. The grants being requested total of approximately \$12,500,000 with a 20% local match totaling \$2,500,000.00

Discussion

Prospect Ave between Linwood and 47th St is identified as one of the corridors with high crashes. The City Council adopted the Vision Zero Resolution 200019. Requesting supporting the resolution to apply for Safe Streets for All (SS4A) grant for a total of \$12,500,000 with a 20% local match totaling \$2,500,000.

Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget? Yes No
2. What is the funding source?
Future CIP Grant Match
3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?
No
4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.
Yes, the City would need a one-time matching fund for \$2,500,000.00
5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?
Improving the corridor and making it safe for all modes of transportation will reduce the crash related costs socially and economically.

Office of Management and Budget Review
(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

1. This legislation is supported by the general fund. Yes No
2. This fund has a structural imbalance. Yes No
3. Account string has been verified/confirmed. Yes No

Additional Discussion (if needed)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact

1. View the [FY23 Citywide Business Plan](#)
2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?
Infrastructure and Accessibility (Press tab after selecting.)
3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):
 - Engage in thoughtful planning and redesign of existing road networks to ensure safety, access, and mobility of users of all ages and abilities.
 - Enhance the City's connectivity, resiliency, and equity through a better-connected multi-modal transportation system for all users.
 - Build on existing strengths while developing a comprehensive transportation plan for the future.
 - Develop environmentally sustainable infrastructure strategies that improve quality of life and foster economic growth.
 - Ensure adequate resources are provided for continued maintenance of existing infrastructure.
 - Focus on delivery of safe connections to schools.

Prior Legislation

Resolution 200019

Service Level Impacts

This resolution will improve the service of city services by providing safer walking, promoting people to walk and use transit system.

Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?

Positive impacts to health as this project promotes safe walking.

2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?

Public engagement has been the key to this process. Public engagement was performed for two projects on this corridor ProspectUS and Sidewalk Prioritization Plan which included mailers, public meetings and surveys.

3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?

Keeping City connected via walkable and providing transit options contribute to sustainable Kansas City

4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?

No (Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Click or tap here to enter text.

5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.

No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting)

Please provide reasoning why not:

This is only a resolution

6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?

No(Press tab after selecting)

This is only a resolution

7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?

No(Press tab after selecting)