



# City of Kansas City, Missouri

## Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 250018

Submitted Department/Preparer: Parks Recreation

Revised 6/10/24

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

### Executive Summary

Calling for submission to the voters of Kansas City, at an election to be held on April 8, 2025, a question to remove approximately 3.42 acres of Longfellow Park located between E. 25th Street and E. 26th Street, and between Gillham Road and Cherry Street from the park system for the public purpose of expanding available free housing and lodging to families of ill and injured children needing nearby access to pediatric hospitals within Kansas City; directing the City Clerk to notify the responsible election authorities of the election no later than January 28, 2025; and recognizing this ordinance as having an accelerated effective date.

### Discussion

This provides a needed benefit to the surrounding community, and as the land will still be open to the residents as parkland, there is no reduction in benefit to the community while our department does recognize a benefit from having less land to maintain.

### Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget?  Yes  No
2. What is the funding source?  
N/A
3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?  
N/A
4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.  
N/A

5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?  
It will generate revenue through the sale of the land.

### **Office of Management and Budget Review**

(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

1. This legislation is supported by the general fund.  Yes  No
2. This fund has a structural imbalance.  Yes  No
3. Account string has been verified/confirmed.  Yes  No

### **Additional Discussion (if needed)**

This ordinance has no direct fiscal impact. If approved by voters, the sale of surplus property would generate revenue.

## **Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact**

1. View the [Adopted 2025-2029 Citywide Business Plan](#)
2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?  
Housing and Healthy Communities (Press tab after selecting.)
3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):
- Utilize planning approaches in neighborhoods to reduce blight, ensure sustainable housing, and improve resident wellbeing and cultural diversity.
  - Maintain and increase affordable housing supply to meet the demands of a diverse population.
  - Address the various needs of the City's most vulnerable population by working to reduce disparities.
  - Foster an inclusive environment and regional approach to spur innovative solutions to housing challenges.
  - Ensure all residents have safe, accessible, quality housing by reducing barriers.
  - Protect and promote healthy, active amenities such as parks and trails, play spaces, and green spaces.

## **Prior Legislation**

The Parks & Recreation Board of Commissioners approved resolution 32692 to

remove this section of Longfellow Park from the Parks & Recreation system.

## Service Level Impacts

None

## Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?  
None
2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?  
N/A
3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?  
It maintains the property as green space.
4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?  
No (Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.  
Click or tap here to enter text.

5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.

No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting)  
Please provide reasoning why not:  
Click or tap here to enter text.

6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?

No(Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?  
No(Press tab after selecting)