



Agenda

Neighborhood Planning and Development Committee

Chairperson Ryana Parks-Shaw

Vice Chair Eric Bunch

Councilmember Nathan Willett

Councilmember Melissa Patterson Hazley

Tuesday, June 3, 2025

1:30 PM

26th Floor, Council Chamber

Webinar Link: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84530222968>

PUBLIC OBSERVANCE OF MEETINGS

Members of the City Council may attend this meeting via videoconference.

Any closed session may be held via teleconference.

The public can observe this meeting at the links provided below.

Applicants and citizens wishing to participate have the option of attending each meeting or they may do so through the videoconference platform ZOOM, using this link:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84530222968>

Public Testimony is Limited to 2 Minutes

FIRST READINGS

Director of Health

250431 Sponsor: Director of Health Department

Accepting and approving a grant award amendment in the amount of \$1,213,653.00 as part of the Ryan White HIV Emergency Relief Part A/Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI) Grant from the Department of Health and Human Services-Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA); appropriating and estimating revenue in the amount of \$1,213,653.00; designating requisitioning authority; and recognizing this ordinance as having an accelerated effective date.

City Manager's Office

250433 Sponsor: City Manager's Office

Approving the Second Amendment to the Overlook Tax Increment Financing Plan.

Curls, Parks-Shaw and French

250439 Sponsor: Councilmember Darrell Curls

Finding that the Starlight Theatre Project would be a benefit to the City and State of Missouri; authorizing the City Manager to work with Starlight Theatre to assist in the preparation of an application to the Missouri Development Finance Board ("MDFB"); authorizing an application to the MDFB for the authorization of state tax credits in an amount not to exceed \$3 million to facilitate improvements at Starlight Theatre in Kansas City, Missouri; and authorizing the City Manager to execute a tax credit agreement with the MDFB for the Starlight Theatre Project.

Patterson Hazley

250440 Sponsor: Councilmember Melissa Patterson Hazley

Amending Chapter 88, the Zoning and Development Code, by repealing Section 88-445-15, "Unsafe Signs, Signs in Disrepair and Nonconforming Signs," and enacting in lieu thereof a new section of like number and subject matter for the purpose of allowing a sign to be relocated in the event a condemnation action is initiated by the State of Missouri. ***Held until 6/24/2025***

HELD IN COMMITTEE

Director of City Planning & Development

250300 Sponsor: Director of City Planning and Development Department

Rezoning an area of about 75 acres generally located at the southwest corner of Ess Road and Lee's Summit Road from District R-7.5 to R-80.
(CD-CPC-2025-00010)

Parks-Shaw, French and Bough

250419 Sponsor: Councilmember Ryana Parks-Shaw

Directing the City Manager to develop a tri-share childcare pilot program with the state of Missouri for first responders; directing the City Manager to negotiate a sole source agreement with Upwards to administer the pilot program; appropriating \$3,000,000.00 from the Unappropriated Fund Balance of the Violence Prevention Fund in support of the program; designating requisitioning authority; and recognizing this ordinance as having an accelerated effective date.

ADDITIONAL BUSINESS

1. There may be general discussion for current Neighborhood Planning and Development Committee issues.
2. Closed Session
 - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 1 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss legal matters, litigation, or privileged communications with attorneys;
 - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 2 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss real estate;
 - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsections 3 and 13 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss personnel matters;
 - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 9 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss employee labor negotiations;
 - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 11 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss specifications for competitive bidding;
 - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 12 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss sealed bids or proposals; or
 - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 17 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss confidential or privileged communications with auditors.
3. Those who wish to comment on proposed ordinances can email written testimony to public.testimony@kcmo.org. Comments received will be distributed to the committee and added to the public record by the clerk.

The city provides several ways for residents to watch City Council meetings:

- Livestream on the city's website at www.kcmo.gov
- Livestream on the city's YouTube channel at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3hOuBlg4fok>
- Watch Channel 2 on your cable system. The channel is available through Time Warner Cable (channel 2 or 98.2), AT&T U-verse (channel 99 then select Kansas City) and Google Fiber on Channel 142.
- To watch archived meetings, visit the City Clerk's website and look in the Video on Demand section: http://kansascity.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=2

The City Clerk's Office now has equipment for the hearing impaired for use with every meeting. To check out the equipment please see the secretary for each committee. Be prepared to leave your Driver's License or State issued Identification Card with the secretary and she will give you the equipment. Upon returning the equipment your license will be returned.

Adjournment



Legislation Text

File #: 250431

ORDINANCE NO. 250431

Sponsor: Director of Health Department

Accepting and approving a grant award amendment in the amount of \$1,213,653.00 as part of the Ryan White HIV Emergency Relief Part A/Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI) Grant from the Department of Health and Human Services-Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA); appropriating and estimating revenue in the amount of \$1,213,653.00; designating requisitioning authority; and recognizing this ordinance as having an accelerated effective date.

WHEREAS, Ryan White Part A and Minority AIDS Initiative funds provide core medical and related support services to improve access and reduce disparities in health outcomes in the Kansas City Transitional Grant Area (KC-TGA); and

WHEREAS, the Kansas City Transitional Grant Area (KC-TGA), which includes counties in both Missouri and Kansas, qualifies as an eligible metropolitan area under the federal Ryan White Part A and Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI) programs due to the high incidence of HIV/AIDS, and has received Part A funding for over 30 years to support a comprehensive system of care for people living with HIV; and

WHEREAS, the Kansas City, Missouri Health Department serves as the administrator of Ryan White Part A/MAI programming in the KC-TGA, overseeing the delivery of core medical and support services—including outpatient medical care, oral health services, case management, and mental health/substance abuse treatment—to over 2,700 individuals annually through sub-recipient agencies, with funding awarded based on both epidemiological data and demonstrated need; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. That a \$1,213,653.00 award amendment to Ryan White HIV Emergency Relief Part A / Minority AIDS Initiative (“MAI”) Grant between the City of Kansas City, Missouri, acting through its Director of Health, and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Health Resources and Services Administration (“HRSA”), acting through its Director of Administration, whereby HRSA will provide funding for persons living with HIV/AIDS in the eleven county Kansas City Transitional Grant Area (KC-TGA) is hereby accepted and approved. A copy of the agreement, in substantial form, is on file with the Director of Health.

Section 2. That revenue in the following account of the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Fund is hereby estimated in the following amount:

26-2730-500001-479740-G50244826	HRSA Ryan White Grant FY26	\$1,137,693.00
26-2730-500001-479880-G50501926	Minority Aids Initiative 26	<u>75,960.00</u>
	TOTAL	\$1,213,653.00

Section 3. That \$1,213,653.00 is hereby appropriated from the Unappropriated Fund Balance of the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Fund:

26-2730-505003-A-G50244826	HRSA Ryan White Grant FY26	\$ 115,858.00
26-2730-505003-B-G50244826	HRSA Ryan White Grant FY26	1,021,835.00
26-2730-505019-A-G50501926	Minority Aids Initiative 26	7,558.00
26-2730-505019-B-G50501926	Minority Aids Initiative 26	<u>68,402.00</u>
	TOTAL	\$1,213,653.00

Section 4. The Director of Health is designated as requisitioning authority for Account No. 26-2730-505003-G50244826 and 26-2730-505019-G50501926 and is hereby authorized to expend the sum of \$1,213,653.00 from funds previously appropriated in the account to fund the agreement.

Section 5. That this ordinance is recognized as an ordinance with an accelerated effective date as provided by Section 503(a)(3)(C) of the City Charter in that it appropriates money and shall take effect in accordance with that section.

..end

I hereby certify that there is a balance, otherwise unencumbered, to the credit of the appropriation to which the foregoing expenditure is to be charged, and a cash balance, otherwise unencumbered, in the treasury, to the credit of the fund from which payment is to be made, each sufficient to meet the obligation hereby incurred.

Tammy L. Queen
Director of Finance

Approved as to form:

Joseph A. Guarino
Senior Associate City Attorney



City of Kansas City, Missouri

Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 250431

Submitted Department/Preparer: Health

Revised 6/10/24

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

Executive Summary

Accepting funding in the amount of \$1,213,653.00 as part of the Ryan White HIV Emergency Relief Part A/ Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI) Grant from the Department of Health and Human Services-Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA). The grant period is effective March 1, 2025 to February 28, 2026. This award provides partial funding of approximately 40% of annual formula funding and 27% annual Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI) funding based on the continuation of FY 2024 program requirements and funding levels. This is the second partial award provided to the City; \$2,038,741.00 total award for RW Part A/MAI for FY25

Discussion

Ryan White Part A/MAI funds provide core medical and related support services to improve access and reduce disparities in health outcomes in metropolitan areas hardest hit by HIV/AIDS. The Kansas City Transitional Grant Area (KC-TGA) qualifies as one of those metropolitan areas due to the level of incidence of HIV within the eleven county region. To qualify, a metropolitan area must average 1,000 new cases of HIV over the previous five year period.

Service area consist of Cass, Clay, Clinton, Jackson, Platte, Lafayette, and Ray counties in MO and Johnson, Leavenworth, Miami, and Wyandotte counties in KS. Kansas City Health Department acts as the Administrator for all Ryan White Part A/MAI Programing in the KC-TGA.

The KC-TGA Ryan White Continuum of Care provided core medical and support services for 2757 individuals in calendar year 2022. Services will be contracted out to sub-recipient agencies to provide the community with outpatient/ambulatory medical care, oral health services, Medical Case Management, Early Intervention Services, Referral for Healthcare Support Services, Mental Health/Substance Abuse treatment, Health Education, Housing services, and other professional services. The comprehensive system of care is monitored for the quality, programmatic and fiscal integrity by the Kansas City Missouri Health Department, HIV Services Division.

Ryan White funding is appropriated annually by Congress. The awards for Part A are split into formula and supplemental funds. Formula funds are awarded based on the number of new cases of HIV/AIDS in the funded service area. Supplemental funds are awarded based on demonstrated need and quality of local plans in the annual application. The KC-TGA has received Part A Ryan White funding for over 30 years.

MAI funding is used to improve access to HIV care and health outcomes for racial and ethnic minority populations disproportionately affected by HIV.

Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget? ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. What is the funding source?
Ryan White HIV/AIDS Fund - 2730
3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?
This legislation estimates and appropriates funding in the current fiscal year
4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.
No
5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?
Leverage outside funding

Office of Management and Budget Review

(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

1. This legislation is supported by the general fund. ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. This fund has a structural imbalance. ☐ Yes ☒ No
3. Account string has been verified/confirmed. ☒ Yes ☐ No

Additional Discussion (if needed)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact

1. View the [Adopted 2025-2029 Citywide Business Plan](#)
2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?
Housing and Healthy Communities (Press tab after selecting.)
3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):

- ☐ Utilize planning approaches in neighborhoods to reduce blight, ensure sustainable housing, and improve resident wellbeing and cultural diversity.
- ☐ Maintain and increase affordable housing supply to meet the demands of a diverse population.
- ☒ Address the various needs of the City's most vulnerable population by working to reduce disparities.
- ☐ Foster an inclusive environment and regional approach to spur innovative solutions to housing challenges.
- ☐ Ensure all residents have safe, accessible, quality housing by reducing barriers.
- ☐ Protect and promote healthy, active amenities such as parks and trails, play spaces, and green spaces.

Prior Legislation

Click or tap here to list prior, related ordinances/resolutions.

Service Level Impacts

Ryan White Program Part A and MAI ensure that low income residents living with HIV have access to life saving medical treatment and support services that empower individuals to overcome barriers to care. Viral Load Suppression for those engaged vs not engaged with the Ryan White Program. In the KC-TGA of those enrolled in Ryan White 11% were not virally suppressed compared to the overall population of persons with HIV in the jurisdiction with 20% not virally suppressed (SOURCE CY22 Surveillance data). When individuals with HIV are virally suppressed they are unable to transmit HIV to others and thus the Ryan White programs also impact the community by preventing the spread of HIV.

Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?

Impact to overall persons living with HIV will include better health outcomes as described above and improved quality of life. Populations disproportionately impacted by the HIV epidemic include men who have sex with men, men and women of color, transgender women, and intravenous drug users. Minority AIDS Initiative funding is used to serve the following populations in the KC-TGA:

*Young Men who have Sex with Men of Color (AA/Black & Hisp/Lat), Ages 13-29

* African American/Black Males & Females, Non-MSM, Ages 13-44

*Transgender People of Color (AA/Black & Hisp/Lat), All Ages

2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?

The Kansas City- TGA has an active Integrated HIV Prevention and Care Planning Council composed of various stakeholders in the community including 33% of the membership persons living with HIV, including members of the groups described above. Planning Council makes decisions on appropriations and is provided ongoing reporting from KCHD to monitor progress and impact of the funding.

3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?

Prevents the spread of HIV and improves quality of life for those living with HIV. Federal Investments in public health such as Ryan White also reduce the local burden to provide safety net services and care.

4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?

No (Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Click or tap here to enter text.

5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.

No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting)

Please provide reasoning why not:

N/A

6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?

No(Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?

No(Press tab after selecting)



File #: 250433

ORDINANCE NO. 250433

Sponsor: City Manager's Office

Approving the Second Amendment to the Overlook Tax Increment Financing Plan.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Real Property Tax Increment Allocation Redevelopment Act, Sections 99.800 to 99.865 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, as amended (the "Act"), and Ordinance No. 545556 adopted on November 24, 1982, as amended by Committee Substitute for Ordinance No. 911076 adopted on August 29, 1991, by Ordinance No. 100089 adopted on January 28, 2010, by Ordinance No. 130986 adopted on December 19, 2013 and by Committee Substitute for Ordinance No. 140823 adopted on June 18, 2015 (collectively, the "Enabling Ordinances") the City Council of Kansas City, Missouri created the Tax Increment Financing Commission of Kansas City, Missouri (the "Commission"); and

WHEREAS, on December 3, 2020, the City Council of Kansas City, Missouri (the "Council") passed Ordinance No. 200942 which accepted the recommendations of the Commission and approved the Overlook Tax Increment Financing Plan (the "Redevelopment Plan") and designated the Redevelopment Area described therein to be a blighted area (the "Redevelopment Area"); and

WHEREAS, on December 8, 2022, the City Council of Kansas City, Missouri (the "Council") passed Ordinance No. 221036 which approved the First Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Second Amendment to the Redevelopment Plan modifies the Budget of Redevelopment Project Costs, the Anticipated Sources of Funds for such Redevelopment Project Costs, and the Development Schedule; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. The Second Amendment, as attached hereto, is hereby approved and adopted as valid.

Section 2. That all terms used in this ordinance, not otherwise defined herein, shall be construed as defined in Sections 99.800 to 99.865 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri, as amended (the "Act").

Section 3. That the Council hereby finds that:

- (a) The Second Amendment does not alter the previous finding of the Council in Ordinance No. 200942 that the Redevelopment Area on the whole is a blighted area and has not been subject to growth and development through investment by private enterprise and would not reasonably be anticipated to be developed “but for” the adoption of tax increment financing.
- (b) The Redevelopment Plan, as amended by the Second Amendment, conforms to the comprehensive plan for the development of the City as a whole, the KC Spirit Playbook, as well as the Swope Area Plan.
- (c) The Second Amendment does not alter the Redevelopment Project of the Redevelopment Plan, and the estimated dates of completion of the Redevelopment Project will be completed no later than twenty-three (23) years from the adoption of the ordinance approving the Redevelopment Project which will be adopted no later than ten (10) years from the adoption of the Redevelopment Plan, and in the event Obligations are issued to finance Redevelopment Project Costs such Obligations will be retired in less than twenty-three years from the adoption of the ordinance approving the Redevelopment Project. The Second Amendment does not alter the Redevelopment Plan that no contemplated property acquisition shall occur by eminent domain.
- (d) The Second Amendment does not alter the Redevelopment Plan’s relocation assistance for businesses and residences;
- (e) The Second Amendment does not alter the cost benefit analysis attached to the Redevelopment Plan showing the impact of the Redevelopment Plan, as amended, on each taxing district which is at least partially within the boundaries of the Redevelopment Area has been prepared in accordance with the Act;
- (f) The Second Amendment does not include the initial development or redevelopment of any gambling establishment.

Section 4. That the Commission is authorized to issue obligations in one or more series of bonds secured by the Special Allocation Fund(s) established in connection with each Redevelopment Project described by the Redevelopment Plan to finance Redevelopment Project Costs and subject to any constitutional limitations, to acquire by purchase, donation, lease or eminent domain, own, convey, lease, mortgage, or dispose of, land or other property, real or personal, or rights or interests therein, and grant or acquire licenses, easements and options with respect thereto, all in the manner and at such price the Commission determines, to enter into such contracts and undertake all such further actions as are reasonably necessary to achieve the objectives of the Redevelopment Plan, as amended, pursuant to the power delegated to it in the Enabling Ordinances. Any obligations issued to finance Redevelopment Project Costs shall contain a recital that they are issued pursuant to Sections 99.800 through 99.865, RSMo., which recital shall be conclusive evidence of their validity and of the regularity of their issuance.

Section 5. That pursuant to the provisions of the Redevelopment Plan, the Council approves the pledge of all payments in lieu of taxes and economic activity taxes generated within Redevelopment Project that are deposited into the Special Allocation Fund(s) established in connection with the Redevelopment Project described by the Redevelopment Plan to the payment of Redevelopment Project Costs, and authorizes the Commission to pledge such funds on its behalf.

..end

Approved as to form:

Emalea Black
Associate City Attorney



City of Kansas City, Missouri

Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 250433

Submitted Department/Preparer: Please Select

Revised 6/10/24

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

Executive Summary

Approving the Second Amendment to the Overlook Tax Increment Financing Plan.

Discussion

This amendment modifies the Estimated Budget of Redevelopment Projects, Sources of Funds, Development Schedule, and exhibits to the Plan with such other conforming changes.

The Total Redevelopment Project Costs have increased to \$34,515,681. The Second Amendment provides the same value for the Redevelopment Project Costs eligible for reimbursement of \$11,291,676. This is approximately 33% of the updated total Budget of Redevelopment Project Costs. This is a reduction in the percentage of reimbursement from approximately 48%.

The TIF Plan contemplated the Developer requesting The Planned Industrial Expansion Authority (PIEA) for an exemption on sales taxes for construction materials along with 100% real property tax abatement for twenty-five (25) years on the property located within the Redevelopment Project Area. The Developer has received approval of these additional PIEA Benefits.

The intent of the Overlook TIF Plan remains unchanged.

Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget? ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. What is the funding source?
TIF, CCED
3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?
N/A

4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.

N/A

5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?

N/A

Office of Management and Budget Review

(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

- | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1. This legislation is supported by the general fund. | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 2. This fund has a structural imbalance. | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| 3. Account string has been verified/confirmed. | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |

Additional Discussion (if needed)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact

1. View the [Adopted 2025-2029 Citywide Business Plan](#)
2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?
Inclusive Growth and Development (Press tab after selecting.)
3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):
 - ☒ Align the City's economic development strategies with the objectives of the City Council to ensure attention on areas traditionally underserved by economic development and redevelopment efforts.
 - ☒ Ensure quality, lasting development of new growth.
 - ☒ Increase and support local workforce development and minority, women, and locally owned businesses.
 - ☒ Create a solutions-oriented culture to foster a more welcoming business environment.
 - ☒ Leverage existing institutional assets to maintain and grow Kansas City's position as an economic hub in the Central United States.
 - ☐

Prior Legislation

Plan Approval - 200942
Project 1 - 200943
1st Amendment - 221036

Service Level Impacts

None identified

Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?
None identified.
2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?
N/A
3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?
Utilizes city resources to provide equitable development
4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?
No (Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Click or tap here to enter text.

5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.

No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting)

Please provide reasoning why not:

Click or tap here to enter text.

6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?

No(Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?
No(Press tab after selecting)



File #: 250439

ORDINANCE NO. 250439

Sponsor: Councilmember Darrell Curls

Finding that the Starlight Theatre Project would be a benefit to the City and State of Missouri; authorizing the City Manager to work with Starlight Theatre to assist in the preparation of an application to the Missouri Development Finance Board (“MDFB”); authorizing an application to the MDFB for the authorization of state tax credits in an amount not to exceed \$3 million to facilitate improvements at Starlight Theatre in Kansas City, Missouri; and authorizing the City Manager to execute a tax credit agreement with the MDFB for the Starlight Theatre Project.

WHEREAS, the Starlight Theatre is managed by the Starlight Theatre Association of Kansas City, MO (“Association”); and

WHEREAS, the Association is undertaking the fundraising, financing, and management of capital improvements (“Starlight Theatre Project”), including improved access for Theatre patrons, the construction of a new production bridge, addition of a canopy covering 3,000 seats, and renovations of the kitchen, concession spaces, and restrooms; and

WHEREAS, the Starlight Theatre is a major tourist attraction, gathering patrons representing 85 percent of all zip codes within Missouri by providing access to premier entertainment, children’s educational programs, special performances for school aged children, and technical training opportunities; and

WHEREAS, the Starlight Theatre Project will have a substantial benefit to both the City of Kansas City and the State of Missouri by increasing patrons from 320,000 to 394,000 annually; and

WHEREAS, the Starlight Theatre Project is estimated to increase the total direct and indirect economic output of the Theatre from \$78.1 million to \$91.4 million annually within the State of Missouri upon completion of the Project; and

WHEREAS, the Starlight Theatre Project is estimated to increase the total direct and indirect economic output of the Theatre from \$42.6 million to \$50.0 million annually within the City of Kansas City upon completion of the project; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has expressed support for the Starlight Theatre Project through passage of Ordinance 240477, which provided a \$3.5 million bridge loan to the Starlight Theatre Project to commence Phase I construction; and

WHEREAS, the passage of Ordinance 240499 provided an additional sales tax redirection to the Starlight Theatre Project from taxes generated by the economic activity at Starlight Theatre to assist in repayment of the bridge loan; and

WHEREAS, \$3 million in contribution tax credits from the MDFB would generate up to \$6 million in additional funds for the Starlight Theatre Project and is necessary to complete the project; NOW THEREFORE

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. The Starlight Theatre Project will benefit both the City and the State of Missouri by enhancing the theatre experience for patrons by creating a state-of-the-art experience through improving patron accessibility, the construction of a new production bridge, a 3,000-seat canopy, and newly renovated kitchen, concession, and restroom spaces.

Section 2. The Starlight Theatre Project will benefit the City and the State of Missouri through substantial increased economic output from the Theatre, generating additional jobs, tourism, economic activity, and tax revenue.

Section 3. The City Manager is authorized to work with the Starlight Theatre Association of Kansas City, MO on the preparation of an application to the Missouri Development Finance Board ("MDFB") through the State Tax Credit for Contribution Program.

Section 4. The City Manager is authorized to file an application with the MDFB in an amount not to exceed \$3 million for financing of the Starlight Theatre Project through the State Tax Credit for Contribution Program.

Section 5. The City Manager is authorized to negotiate and execute a tax credit agreement with the Missouri Development Finance Board in connection with the issuance of state tax credits, if the City's application is approved.

..end

Approved as to form:

Emalea Black
Associate City Attorney



City of Kansas City, Missouri

Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 250439

Submitted Department/Preparer: City Planning

Revised 6/10/24

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

Executive Summary

Finding that the Starlight Theatre Project would be a benefit to the City and State of Missouri; authorizing the City Manager to work with Starlight Theatre to assist in the preparation of an application to the Missouri Development Finance Board ("MDFB"); authorizing an application to the MDFB for the authorization of state tax credits in an amount not to exceed \$3 million to facilitate improvements at Starlight Theatre in Kansas City, Missouri; and authorizing the City Manager to execute a tax credit agreement with the MDFB for the Starlight Theatre Project.

Discussion

This ordinance would authorize the City Manager to assist the Starlight Theatre Association with preparation of a tax credit application to the Missouri Development Finance Board ("MDFB") to assist with planned improvements to the Starlight Theatre. \$3 million in tax credits would leverage up to \$6 million in contributions for Starlight, as the credits are generally sold for up-to two times the value of the tax credit.

Additionally, this ordinance would authorize the City Manager to negotiate and execute a tax credit agreement with the MDFB if tax credits are awarded for the Starlight Theatre Project.

Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget? ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. What is the funding source?
This ordinance has no direct fiscal impact.
3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?
This ordinance has no direct fiscal impact.

4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.
No
5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?
This legislation would potentially leverage outside funding contingent upon the approval of the MDFB tax credit application.

Office of Management and Budget Review

(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

1. This legislation is supported by the general fund. ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. This fund has a structural imbalance. ☐ Yes ☒ No
3. Account string has been verified/confirmed. ☐ Yes ☒ No

Additional Discussion (if needed)

There is no direct fiscal impact as a result of this ordinance.

Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact

1. View the [Adopted 2025-2029 Citywide Business Plan](#)
2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?
Inclusive Growth and Development (Press tab after selecting.)
3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):
 - ☐ Align the City's economic development strategies with the objectives of the City Council to ensure attention on areas traditionally underserved by economic development and redevelopment efforts.
 - ☐ Ensure quality, lasting development of new growth.
 - ☐ Increase and support local workforce development and minority, women, and locally owned businesses.
 - ☐ Create a solutions-oriented culture to foster a more welcoming business environment.
 - ☒ Leverage existing institutional assets to maintain and grow Kansas City's position as an economic hub in the Central United States.
 - ☐

Prior Legislation

N/A

Service Level Impacts

This ordinance would have no impact on City services.

Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?
N/A
2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?
N/A

3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?
N/A

4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?
No (Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Click or tap here to enter text.

5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.

No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting)

Please provide reasoning why not:

Click or tap here to enter text.

6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?

No(Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?
No(Press tab after selecting)



File #: 250440

ORDINANCE NO. 250440

Sponsor: Councilmember Melissa Patterson Hazley

Amending Chapter 88, the Zoning and Development Code, by repealing Section 88-445-15, “Unsafe Signs, Signs in Disrepair and Nonconforming Signs,” and enacting in lieu thereof a new section of like number and subject matter for the purpose of allowing a sign to be relocated in the event a condemnation action is initiated by the State of Missouri. ***Held until 6/24/2025***

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. That Chapter 88, Zoning and Development Code, is hereby amended by repealing Section 88-445-15, “Unsafe Signs, Signs in Disrepair and Nonconforming Signs,” and enacting in lieu thereof a new section of like number and title for the purpose of allowing a sign to be relocated in the event a condemnation action is initiated by the State of Missouri, with said new section to read as follows:

88-445-15 UNSAFE SIGNS, SIGNS IN DISREPAIR AND NONCONFORMING SIGNS

88-445-15-A. UNSAFE SIGNS

If the city planning and development director find that any sign is unsafe or insecure, or is a menace to the public, they must give written notice to the owner or lessee.

1. If the owner or lessee fails to remove or alter the sign to bring it into compliance with this chapter within 30 days after the notice, the subject owner or lessee will be deemed in violation of this chapter.
2. The city planning and development director is authorized to cause any sign that is an immediate peril to persons or property to be removed immediately and without notice to the owner or lessee.

88-445-15-B. SIGNS IN DISREPAIR

Any sign that is excessively weathered or faded or upon which the paint has excessively peeled or cracked or has panel(s) missing, or is otherwise in disrepair, must, with its supporting members, be immediately removed or restored to a good state of repair.

88-445-15-C. NONCONFORMING SIGNS

1. **CONTINUANCE AND MAINTENANCE OF NONCONFORMING SIGNS**

Subject to the restrictions of this section, nonconforming signs that were otherwise lawful at the time of installation may be continued. Routine

maintenance of legal nonconforming signs is allowed, as defined in Section 88-810-992.

2. **ALTERATIONS AND EXPANSIONS OF NONCONFORMING SIGNS**

No structural alteration, enlargement, expansion or change in sign type may be made to a nonconforming sign unless the alteration, enlargement, expansion or change will result in elimination of the nonconforming aspects of the sign. Illumination, including electronic or digital sign faces, may not be added to any nonconforming sign.

3. **STRUCTURAL REPAIRS**

a. Subject to compliance with the Code and the issuance of a building permit, and subject to the limitations in b., below, the following structural repairs may be made to a legally nonconforming sign:

(1) Adding guys or struts for the stabilization of the sign; and

(2) Replacement of any pole or beam for the stabilization of the sign.

b. Within any five-year period, the total cumulative cost of the repairs under this subsection may not exceed 50% of the replacement cost of the sign, and the repair activity may not result in a change in the material of the replaced element of the nonconforming sign or an enlargement, expansion, alteration, or other change to the sign including, but not limited to, the relocation or expanded dimension of poles and beams. Each application for a sign permit for repair of a legally nonconforming sign under this subsection shall be accompanied by an estimate from a sign fabricator of: (i) the current actual market cost of labor and materials for the replacement of the sign and (ii) the current actual market cost of labor and materials for the proposed repair. The estimate shall itemize each element of cost and shall be subject to review and approval by the Director of City Planning and Development, or designee, who may request additional data and information. The Director shall review and act within fourteen (14) days of the date of the submission of the complete application for sign permit.

4. **RELOCATION—GENERALLY**

Except as otherwise allowed by this Chapter, a nonconforming sign may not be moved to another location unless doing so would bring the sign into complete conformity with the provisions of this chapter.

5. **RELOCATION FOR PUBLIC PURPOSE**

Notwithstanding the specific provision in subsection 4 above, or any other provision in this Chapter concerning signs, a nonconforming sign may be

moved to another location without conforming to the provisions of this chapter under the following conditions:

- a. An eminent domain action has been filed in court by the City or the State of Missouri to effect the removal of the sign, or the sign is under threat of condemnation by the City or the State of Missouri.
- b. The relocation of the sign is necessary to accommodate a public purpose in the construction or improvement of facilities of public interest.
- c. The sign will be relocated on the same or adjoining property as the existing current site, as necessary to resolve any public purpose concerns, but will not be moved more than 300 feet. "Property" as used herein means a lot as shown on a plat of a subdivision recorded or registered pursuant to statute or a parcel of land as designated by the property owner to be used, developed or built upon as a unit under single ownership or control.
- d. The relocation of the sign must be in conjunction with the removal of one or more legally nonconforming outdoor advertising sign(s) (including structures), which removal meets one of the following two criteria:
 1. A single sign is to be removed which contains at least two sign faces, each of which is at least 100% as large as the largest sign face on the sign being relocated, and is located on property zoned B-1, B-2, or B-3, or
 2. More than one sign is to be removed and at least one of the signs to be removed contains a sign face that is at least 247 square feet in size, and the cumulative sign face square footage being removed equals or exceeds at least 125% of cumulative square footage of the sign face(s) of the sign being relocated. The sign face square footage will be calculated by adding the square footage of each face of a multi-faced sign.
- e. The sign will not be enlarged, including its sign face, height and width. The sign may be externally illuminated if the sign was externally illuminated before being relocated, and an electrical permit may be issued for such illumination. Electronic, digital or tri-vision sign faces will be allowed on the relocated sign if and to the extent that electronic, digital or tri-vision sign faces existed on the sign being relocated.

- f. The relocation and sign removal must be approved by the Board of Zoning Adjustment, after written notice is provided to any registered neighborhood group or business association with boundaries within 500 feet of the sign proposed to be relocated, considering the following criteria:
 - 1. The removal of the proposed sign or signs will have a greater positive impact on the improvement of the city's residential and commercial neighborhoods than would the removal of the sign proposed to be relocated because of the signs' relative locations, with at least the following factors being considered:
 - a. The removal of signs in less intensive zoning districts will have a greater positive impact.
 - b. The removal of signs nearer residential properties will have a greater positive impact.
 - c. Signs located within 500 feet of any residentially used or zoned property, any institutional use, or any boulevard, park or parkway have an adverse impact on neighborhoods.
 - d. The Board of Zoning Adjustment shall consider the impact of the proposed relocation and removal on scenic, natural, historic or other features in the areas surrounding the signs.
 - 2. The sign or signs to be removed are not abandoned, as defined in 88-445-15.C.6, below;
 - 3. The sign or signs to be removed have contained paid advertising in the last 90 days and therefore are not "blank" as defined in 88-445-14.
 - 4. An application to the Board of Zoning Adjustment is filed within 180 days from the date of the final action in the condemnation proceedings, as may be extended by the Director of City Planning and Development.
- g. Reserved.
- h. Relocations under this section of signs which are not outdoor advertising signs, as defined in 88-810-1770, are not subject to the conditions set forth in 88-445-15.C.5.d and 88-445-15.C.5.f.

- i. The relocation of the sign must be approved as part of, and must resolve the actual or threatened eminent domain action. Nothing in this section will be deemed to eliminate or reduce the City Attorney's discretion in its representation of the City in any eminent domain action.

6. **ABANDONMENT OF NONCONFORMING SIGN**

Nonconforming signs will be deemed abandoned if they are not maintained in good structural condition and in compliance with all city codes. Nonconforming signs that are structurally unsound, rotted, excessively weathered or faded, contain inoperable electrical equipment, or are otherwise in an obvious state of disrepair will also be deemed abandoned. On-site commercial signs will be deemed abandoned if they are blank or advertise a business, activity, product or service that is no longer operating or available on the property on which the sign is located. Once a nonconforming sign is deemed abandoned, and notice is given, the entire sign, including any structure which only supports the sign, must be removed within 30 days by the sign owner, owner of the property where the sign is located, or other person having control over the sign.

Section 2. That the Council finds and declares that before taking any action on the proposed amendment hereinabove, all public notices and hearings required by law have been given and had.

..end

I hereby certify that as required by Chapter 88, Code of Ordinances, the forgoing ordinance was duly advertised, and public hearings were held.

Sara Copeland, FAICP
Secretary, City Plan Commission

Approved as to form:

Sarah Baxter
Senior Associate City Attorney

**No Docket
Memo Provided
for Ordinance
No. 250440**



File #: 250300

ORDINANCE NO. 250300

Sponsor: Director of City Planning and Development Department

Rezoning an area of about 75 acres generally located at the southwest corner of Ess Road and Lee's Summit Road from District R-7.5 to R-80. (CD-CPC-2025-00010)

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section A. That Chapter 88, Code of Ordinances of the City of Kansas City, Missouri, commonly known as the Zoning and Development Code, is hereby amended by enacting a new section to be known as Section 88-20A-1475, rezoning an area of about 75 acres generally located at the southwest corner of Ess Road and Lee's Summit Road from District R-7.5 (Residential) to R-80 (Residential), said section to read as follows:

Section 88-20A-1475. That an area legally described as:

Sec-12 Twp- 48 Sec 32---Pt of NE ¼ SE ¼ daf: beg S ¼ cor sd sec th S 01 deg 57 min 34 sec W 298.17' th S 87 deg 15 min 01 sec W 359.50' to tru pob th NE 01 deg 49 min 04 sec E 684.11' th N 65 deg 16; min 41 sec W 538.14' th N 24 deg 43 min 19 sec E 66.32' th nly alg curv to ri rad 480' arc dist 41.43' th N 29 deg 40 min 02 sec E 286.30' th nly alg curv ri rad 730' arc dist 123.30' th N 39 deg 20; min 41 sec E 63.57' th nly alg curv ri rad 480' arc dist 144.44' th N 56 deg 35 min 08 sec E 122.49' th nly alg curv ri rad 155' arc dist 97.46' th S 87 deg 23 min 13 sec E 1140' th S 02 deg 36 min 47 sec W 692.55' th S 64 deg 03 min 29 sec W 1144.21' th S 01 deg 49 min 04 sec W 360' th N 87 deg 15 min 01 sec W 200' to tru pob (known as Lot 1 on Cert Surv T-56 pg-43).

and

Sec-12 Twp- 48 Sec 32---Pt of NE ¼ SE ¼ and pt SW ¼ Sec-07 Twp- 48 Rng-31 daf: Beg S ¼ cor sd sec th S 01 deg 57 min 34 sec W 298.17' th S 87 deg 15 min 01 sec W 559.50' to tru pob th N 01deg 49 min 04 sec E 360' th N 64 deg 03 min 29 sec E 114421' th N 02 deg 36 min 47 sec E 150' th S 87 deg 14 min 21 sec E 1021.64' th 02 deg 55 min 42 sec E 1065.88' th N 87 deg 15 min 01 sec W 2124.61' to tru pob; (known as Lot 4 on Cert Surv T-56 pg-43)

is hereby rezoned from District R-7.5 (Residential) to District R-80 (Residential), all as shown outlined on a map marked Section 88-20A-1475, which is attached hereto and made a part

hereof, and which is hereby adopted as a part of an amendment to the zoning maps constituting a part of said chapter and in accordance with Section 88-20 thereof.

Section B. That the Council finds and declares that before taking any action on the proposed amendment hereinabove, all public notices and hearings required by the Zoning and Development Code have been given and had.

..end

I hereby certify that as required by Chapter 88, Code of Ordinances, the foregoing ordinance was duly advertised and public hearings were held.

Sara Copeland, FAICP
Secretary, City Plan Commission

Approved as to form:

Sarah Baxter
Senior Associate City Attorney



City of Kansas City, Missouri

Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 250300

Submitted Department/Preparer: City Planning

Revised 6/10/24

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

Executive Summary

Rezoning an area of about 75 acres generally located at the southwest corner of Ess Road and Lee's Summit Road from District R-7.5 (Residential) to R-80 (Residential). (CD-CPC-2025-00010)

Discussion

The applicant is proposing to rezone 75 acres from District R-7.5 to District R-80. The future land use of the property is consistent with the R-80 zoning district as well as the zoning of adjacent properties to the north, east, and west. The proposed rezoning does not require an accompanying plan.

The R-80 zoning district has different lot and building standards than the R-7.5 Zoning District, including for accessory structures. Accessory structures in R-80 can be up to 8,000 square feet and up to 35 feet tall. The R-7.5 limits these to 800 square feet or one square foot of building footprint area for each 10 square feet of lot area, whichever is greater; and only allows up to 16 feet in height.

Additional uses are permitted in the R-80 than that in the R-7.5. A Special Use Permit would be required to permit Detention and Correctional Facilities, Halfway House, Library/Museum/Cultural Exhibit, Communications Service Establishments, Entertainment Venues and Spectator Sports, Bed and breakfast, Recreational vehicle park, Sports and Recreation, Participant, Mining and Quarrying, Composting facility, and Demolition debris landfill. This would require public hearings and engagement in line with the Zoning and Development Code.

Uses permitted in the R-80 district in accordance with the Zoning and Development Code Use Standards that are not permitted under the R-7.5 are Daycare Group, Daycare Center, Animal Shelter or Boarding, Animal Stable, Veterinary Office, Crop Agriculture, Community Supported Agriculture (CSA), and Wireless Communication Facilities.

At the City Plan Commission hearing, the Commission requested that deed restrictions be created and recorded prohibiting items identified as potential issues during public testimony. The applicant has recorded and provided these, which are attached to the ordinance request.

Staff Recommendation: Approval
CPC Recommendation: Approval

Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget? ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. What is the funding source?
Not applicable as this is an ordinance authorizing a zoning map amendment of privately owned land. .
3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?
Not applicable as this is an ordinance authorizing a zoning map amendment of privately owned land.
4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.
Not applicable as this is an ordinance authorizing a zoning map amendment of privately owned land.
5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?
Not applicable as this is an ordinance authorizing a zoning map amendment of privately owned land.

Office of Management and Budget Review

(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

1. This legislation is supported by the general fund. ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. This fund has a structural imbalance. ☐ Yes ☒ No
3. Account string has been verified/confirmed. ☐ Yes ☒ No

Additional Discussion (if needed)

No account string to verify as this ordinance has no direct fiscal impact.

Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact

1. View the [Adopted 2025-2029 Citywide Business Plan](#)
2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?
Inclusive Growth and Development (Press tab after selecting.)

3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):

- ☐ Align the City's economic development strategies with the objectives of the City Council to ensure attention on areas traditionally underserved by economic development and redevelopment efforts.
- ☒ Ensure quality, lasting development of new growth.
- ☐ Increase and support local workforce development and minority, women, and locally owned businesses.
- ☐ Create a solutions-oriented culture to foster a more welcoming business environment.
- ☐ Leverage existing institutional assets to maintain and grow Kansas City's position as an economic hub in the Central United States.
- ☐

Prior Legislation

None

Service Level Impacts

Not applicable as this is an ordinance authorizing a zoning map amendment of privately owned land.

Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?
Not applicable as this is an ordinance authorizing a zoning map amendment of privately owned land.
2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?
Not applicable as this is an ordinance authorizing a zoning map amendment of privately owned land.
3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?
Not applicable as this is an ordinance authorizing a zoning map amendment of privately owned land.
4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?
No (Press tab after selecting)

Not applicable as this is an ordinance authorizing a zoning map amendment of privately owned land.

[Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.

No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting)

Please provide reasoning why not:

Not applicable as this is an ordinance authorizing a zoning map amendment of privately owned land.

6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?

No(Press tab after selecting)

Not applicable as this is an ordinance authorizing a zoning map amendment of privately owned land.

7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?

No(Press tab after selecting)



File #: 250419

ORDINANCE NO. 250419

Sponsor: Councilmember Ryana Parks-Shaw

Directing the City Manager to develop a tri-share childcare pilot program with the state of Missouri for first responders; directing the City Manager to negotiate a sole source agreement with Upwards to administer the pilot program; appropriating \$3,000,000.00 from the Unappropriated Fund Balance of the Violence Prevention Fund in support of the program; designating requisitioning authority; and recognizing this ordinance as having an accelerated effective date.

WHEREAS, childcare costs can be especially burdensome for those that work non-traditional hours such as first responders; and

WHEREAS, in 2025, the State of Missouri appropriated \$3,000,000.00 to support a tri-share childcare model for first responders; and

WHEREAS, the tri-share model requires costs for childcare to be shared by the State, the City, and first responder employees; and

WHEREAS, tri-share models in other cities are reported to have successfully reduced the costs of childcare for families; and

WHEREAS, Upwards utilizes technology solutions to unite families, caregivers, employers, and government agencies to redefine the care landscape; and

WHEREAS, City Council believes childcare support for first responders will enhance readiness and support retention of first responders and ultimately improve services to our community

WHEREAS, the City Council has allocated funding for Violence Prevention in its Violence Prevention Fund and has determined this source is appropriate for this first responder benefit; and

WHEREAS, the City intends to charge the costs of the tri-share program to the applicable departments with employees using this benefit through future quarterly budget analysis; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. That the City Manager is directed to develop a tri-share childcare pilot program with the State of Missouri whereby childcare subsidies are provided to first responders employed by Kansas City and the Kansas City Police Department. Such program development shall include negotiating any necessary agreement with the State to receive its portion of the funding for the pilot program.

Section 2. That the City Manager is directed to enter negotiations for a sole source contract not to exceed \$1,750,000.00 with Upwards to administer the tri-share childcare pilot program.

Section 3. That the sum of \$3,000,000.00 is hereby appropriated from the Unappropriated Fund Balance of the Violence Prevention Fund to the following account:

26-2000-141800-B	Tri-share Model Childcare Program	\$3,000,000.00
------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------

Section 4. That the Director of Human Resource is hereby designated as requisitioning authority for Account No. 26-2000-141800-B.

Section 5. That the expenditure of any City funds for the tri-share pilot program shall be contingent on the receipt of \$3,000,000.00 from the State appropriated for the program.

Section 6. That the City Manager shall report back to the Council on the development of the program and status of agreements with the State of Missouri and Upwards within 45 days.

Section 7. That this Ordinance is recognized as an ordinance with an accelerated effective date as provided by Section 503(a)(3)(C) of the City Charter in that it appropriates money and shall take effect in accordance with that section.

..end

I hereby certify that there is a balance, otherwise unencumbered, to the credit of the appropriation to which the foregoing expenditure is to be charged, and a cash balance, otherwise unencumbered, in the treasury, to the credit of the fund from which payment is to be made, each sufficient to meet the obligation hereby incurred.

Tammy L. Queen
Director of Finance

Approved as to form:

Katherine Chandler
Senior Associate City Attorney



City of Kansas City, Missouri

Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 250419

Submitted Department/Preparer: City Manager's Office

Revised 6/10/24

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

Executive Summary

Directing the City Manager to develop a tri-share childcare pilot program with the state of Missouri for first responders; directing the City Manager to negotiate a sole source agreement with Upwards to administer the pilot program; appropriating \$3,000,000.00 from the Unappropriated Fund Balance of the Violence Prevention Fund in support of the program; designating requisitioning authority; and recognizing this ordinance as having an accelerated effective date.

Discussion

Childcare costs can be especially burdensome for those that work non-traditional hours such as first responders. In 2025, the State of Missouri appropriated \$3,000,000.00 to support a tri-share childcare model for first responders. The tri-share model requires costs for childcare to be shared by the State, the City, and first responder employees. Tri-share models in other cities are reported to have successfully reduced the costs of childcare for families. Upwards utilizes technology solutions to unite families, caregivers, employers, and government agencies to redefine the care landscape. City Council believes childcare support for first responders will enhance readiness and support retention of first responders and ultimately improve services to our community. The City Council has allocated funding for Violence Prevention in its Violence Prevention Fund and has determined this source is appropriate for this first responder benefit. The City intends to charge the costs of the tri-share program to the applicable departments with employees using this benefit through future quarterly budget analysis.

Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget? ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. What is the funding source?
Violence Prevention and Intervention Fund

26-2000-141800-B Tri-share Model Childcare Program \$3,000,000.00

3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?
This legislation appropriates \$3.0 million from the Unappropriated Fund Balance of the Violence Prevention and Intervention Fund.
4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.
Yes, continuing to offer this benefit to eligible employees will become a recurring cost.
5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?
No

Office of Management and Budget Review

(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

1. This legislation is supported by the general fund. ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. This fund has a structural imbalance. ☒ Yes ☐ No
3. Account string has been verified/confirmed. ☒ Yes ☐ No

Additional Discussion (if needed)

The Violence Prevention and Intervention Fund has Unappropriated Fund Balance available to support the proposed amount. The unreserved fund balance of the Violence Prevention and Intervention Fund is \$18.0 million, as of Third Quarter FY 2024-25.

Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact

1. View the [Adopted 2025-2029 Citywide Business Plan](#)
2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?
Finance and Governance (Press tab after selecting.)
3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):
☒ Ensure the resiliency of a responsive, representative, engaged, and transparent City government.

- ☒ Engage in workforce planning including employee recruitment, development, retention, and engagement.
- ☐ Foster a solutions-oriented, welcoming culture for employees and City Partners.
- ☐
- ☐
- ☐

Prior Legislation

N/A

Service Level Impacts

Click or tap here to provide a description of how this ordinance will impact service levels. List any related key performance indicators and impact.

Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?
N/A
2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?
N/A
3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?
N/A
4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?
No (Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Click or tap here to enter text.

5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.

No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting)

Please provide reasoning why not:

This resolution does not seek to approve an agreement that would require CREO's review

6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?

No(Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?

No(Press tab after selecting)



City of Kansas City, Missouri

Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 250419

Submitted Department/Preparer: City Manager's Office

Revised 6/10/24

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

Executive Summary

Directing the City Manager to develop a tri-share childcare pilot program with the state of Missouri for first responders; directing the City Manager to negotiate a sole source agreement with Upwards to administer the pilot program; appropriating \$3,000,000.00 from the Unappropriated Fund Balance of the Violence Prevention Fund in support of the program; designating requisitioning authority; and recognizing this ordinance as having an accelerated effective date.

Discussion

Childcare costs can be especially burdensome for those that work non-traditional hours such as first responders. In 2025, the State of Missouri appropriated \$3,000,000.00 to support a tri-share childcare model for first responders which is pending approval by the Governor. The tri-share model requires costs for childcare to be shared by the State, the City, and first responder employees. Tri-share models in other cities are reported to have successfully reduced the costs of childcare for families. Upwards utilizes technology solutions to unite families, caregivers, employers, and government agencies to redefine the care landscape. City Council believes childcare support for first responders will enhance readiness and support retention of first responders and ultimately improve services to our community. The City Council has allocated funding for Violence Prevention in its Violence Prevention Fund and has determined this source is appropriate for this first responder benefit. The City intends to charge the costs of the tri-share program to the applicable departments with employees using this benefit through future quarterly budget analysis.

Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget? ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. What is the funding source?

Violence Prevention and Intervention Fund
26-2000-141800-B Tri-share Model Childcare Program \$3,000,000.00

3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?
This legislation appropriates \$3.0 million from the Unappropriated Fund Balance of the Violence Prevention and Intervention Fund and may increase expenses in the General Fund as the benefit is used.
4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.
Yes, continuing to offer this benefit to eligible employees will become a recurring cost and use of the Violence Prevention Fund will reduce the amount available for the final two years of funding for violence prevention purposes.
5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?
Yes, program is funded with a \$3 million allocation from the State's 2026 budget.

Office of Management and Budget Review

(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

1. This legislation is supported by the general fund. ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. This fund has a structural imbalance. ☐ Yes ☐ No
3. Account string has been verified/confirmed. ☐ Yes ☐ No

Additional Discussion (if needed)

The Violence Prevention and Intervention Fund has Unappropriated Fund Balance available to support the proposed amount. The Violence Prevention Fund has an estimated ending unreserved fund balance of \$11.9 million, per the FY26 Adopted Budget.

Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact

1. View the [Adopted 2025-2029 Citywide Business Plan](#)
2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?
Finance and Governance (Press tab after selecting.)
3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):

- ☒ Ensure the resiliency of a responsive, representative, engaged, and transparent City government.
- ☒ Engage in workforce planning including employee recruitment, development, retention, and engagement.
- ☐ Foster a solutions-oriented, welcoming culture for employees and City Partners.
- ☐
- ☐
- ☐

Prior Legislation

Click or tap here to list prior, related ordinances/resolutions.

Service Level Impacts

Click or tap here to provide a description of how this ordinance will impact service levels. List any related key performance indicators and impact.

Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?
N/A
2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?
N/A
3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?
N/A
4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?
No (Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Click or tap here to enter text.

5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and

Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.

No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting)

Please provide reasoning why not:

Click or tap here to enter text.

6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?

No(Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?

No(Press tab after selecting)