



**Agenda**

**Special Committee for Legal Review**

Chairperson Quinton Lucas

Vice Chair Melissa Robinson

Councilmember Andrea Bough

---

**Wednesday, September 11, 2024**

**1:00 PM**

**26th Floor, Council Chamber**

---

**Webinar Link: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85624825067>**

**PUBLIC OBSERVANCE OF MEETINGS**

Members of the City Council may attend this meeting via videoconference.

Any closed session may be held via teleconference.

The public can observe this meeting at the links provided below.

Applicants and citizens wishing to participate have the option of attending each meeting or they may do so through the videoconference platform ZOOM, using this link:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85624825067>

**\*\*\*Public Testimony is Limited to 2 Minutes\*\*\***

**Lucas and Robinson**

**[240474](#)** Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Authorizing the City Manager to enter into an MOU with the KCATA setting out the process for approval of TEFRA certificates for KCATA projects.

**Attachments:** [Docket Memo](#)

**Lucas**

**[240708](#)** Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Amending Chapter 50, Code of Ordinances, by repealing Section 50-276 relating to the prohibition on the possession, manufacture, transport, repair, and sale of certain weapons to remove the term bump stock from the definition of machine gun to conform with the U.S. Supreme Court decision in Garland v. Cargill.

**Attachments:** [No Docket Memo 240708](#)

**Director of the Law Department**

[240709](#) Sponsor: Director of the Law Department

Approving and authorizing settlement in the amount of \$225,000.00 for a claim for a Workers' Compensation death benefit filed by the widow of Roger Rhoads for a pernicious lung disease alleged to have resulted from occupational exposure on or about March 2, 2017, while employed by the City.

**Attachments:** [docket memo-rhoads](#)

**Director of the Law Department**

[240710](#) Sponsor: Director of the Law Department

Approving and authorizing settlement in the amount of \$143,611.93 for a claim for a Workers' Compensation benefit filed by Blake Stewart for injuries resulting from an accident on August 27, 2021, while employed by the City.

**Attachments:** [docket memo-stewart](#)

**Director of Civil Rights and Equal Opportunity**

[240719](#) Sponsor: Director of Civil Rights and Equal Opportunity (CREO) Department

Authorizing the Manager of Procurement Services to execute a \$404,998.00 professional services contract (EV3273) with Griffin & Strong, P.C. to conduct a disparity study; authorizing the Director of Civil Rights and Equal Opportunity Department to expend funds; and authorizing the Manager of Procurement Services to amend and extend the contract.

**Attachments:** [Docket Memo- EV3273](#)

**Willett**

[240720](#) Sponsor: Councilmember Nathan Willett

RESOLUTION - Directing the City Manager to stop using City funds or other resources in lawsuits against the Kansas City Missouri Board of Police Commissioners.

**Attachments:** [No Docket Memo 240720](#)

HELD IN COMMITTEE

**Lucas**

**240409** Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Amending Chapter 70, Code of Ordinances, Traffic and Vehicles, by repealing and replacing Section 70-961, for the purpose of authorizing the City's automated camera enforcement system; directing the City Manager to issue a request for proposals to select a vendor for the automated camera enforcement system; and directing the City Manager to include certain requirements in the request for proposals.

**Attachments:** [Docket Memo 240409](#)

ADDITIONAL BUSINESS

1. There may be a general discussion regarding current Special Committee for Legal Review issues.
2. Closed Session
  - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 1 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss legal matters, litigation, or privileged communications with attorneys;
  - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 2 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss real estate;
  - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsections 3 and 13 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss personnel matters;
  - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 9 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss employee labor negotiations;
  - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 11 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss specifications for competitive bidding;
  - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 12 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss sealed bids or proposals; or
  - Pursuant to Section 610.021 subsection 17 of the Revised Statutes of Missouri to discuss confidential or privileged communications with auditors.
3. Those who wish to comment on proposed ordinances can email written testimony to [public.testimony@kcmo.org](mailto:public.testimony@kcmo.org). Comments received will be distributed to the committee and added to the public record by the clerk.

The city provides several ways for residents to watch City Council meetings:

- Livestream on the city's website at [www.kcmo.gov](http://www.kcmo.gov)
- Livestream on the city's YouTube channel at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3hOuBlg4fok>
- Watch Channel 2 on your cable system. The channel is available through Time Warner Cable (channel 2 or 98.2), AT&T U-verse (channel 99 then select Kansas City) and Google Fiber on Channel 142.
- To watch archived meetings, visit the City Clerk's website and look in the Video on Demand section: [http://kansascity.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view\\_id=2](http://kansascity.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=2)

The City Clerk`s Office now has equipment for the hearing impaired for use with every meeting. To check out the equipment please see the secretary for each committee. Be prepared to leave your Driver`s License or State issued Identification Card with the secretary and she will give you the equipment. Upon returning the equipment your license will be returned.

Adjournment



---

**File #: 240474**

---

ORDINANCE NO. 240474

Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Authorizing the City Manager to enter into an MOU with the KCATA setting out the process for approval of TEFRA certificates for KCATA projects.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the City’s Transit Oriented Development (“TOD”) Policy adopted by the City pursuant to Resolution No. 160361 on May 18, 2017 (the “City TOD Policy”), the City outlined its plan to encourage quality development, including affordable housing transit corridors (such as streetcar and MAX bus routes); and

WHEREAS, Kansas City Area Transportation Authority (“KCATA”) is a bi-state agency created in 1965 by a compact between the States of Missouri and Kansas, and approved by the United States Congress (the “Compact”); and

WHEREAS, the KCATA is generally responsible for planning, constructing, owning and operating passenger transportation systems and facilities within the seven-county Kansas City metropolitan area (the “District”), including Kansas City; and

WHEREAS, the KCATA has adopted a policy to advance the development of the affordable housing and other facilities within proximity of its passenger transportation system, particularly low-income housing facilities on the City’s East Side; and

WHEREAS, to facilitate the foregoing, the KCATA issues private activity, tax-exempt bonds pursuant to its authority under the Compact and Section 147 of the Internal Revenue Code (the “Tax Code”), to provide financing for each TOD, low-income housing facility; and

WHEREAS, Section 147(f) of the Tax Code, commonly known as the “Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982” (“TEFRA”), requires (a) that a proposed bond issuer and its “host jurisdiction” (the governmental unit where the proposed project is located) provide notice of a public hearing (a “Public Hearing”) for the purpose of providing the public an opportunity to, among other things, comment upon the proposed tax-exempt bond issue, prior to the issuance thereof; and

WHEREAS, the TEFRA requirements also provide that, following each Public Hearing, the chief elected official of the host jurisdiction provide a certificate indicating its approval of the tax-exempt bond issuance; and

WHEREAS, the City Council desires a clear and transparent process for TEFRA bonds for KCATA projects. NOW THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. The City Council authorizes and expresses support for the City entering into a Memorandum of Understanding with the Kansas City Area Transportation Authority setting out the process for approval of TEFRA certificates for KCATA projects. A copy of the MOU is attached in substantial form.

..end

---

Approved as to form:

---

Emalea Black  
Associate City Attorney



# City of Kansas City, Missouri

## Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 240474

Submitted Department/Preparer: Public Works

Revised 11/01/23

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

### Executive Summary

Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Authorizing the City Manager to enter into an MOU with the KCATA setting out the process for approval of TEFRA certificates for KCATA projects.

### Discussion

Authorizing the City Manager to enter into an MOU with the KCATA setting out the process for approval of TEFRA certificates for KCATA projects.

### Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget?  Yes  No
2. What is the funding source?  
N/A
3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?  
Undetermined
4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.  
Future impact to be determined by MOU authorized by this legislation
5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?  
Uncertain

### Office of Management and Budget Review

(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

1. This legislation is supported by the general fund.  Yes  No

2. This fund has a structural imbalance.  Yes  No
3. Account string has been verified/confirmed.  Yes  No

**Additional Discussion (if needed)**

No account string to verify

### Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact

1. View the [FY23 Citywide Business Plan](#)
2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?  
Infrastructure and Accessibility (Press tab after selecting.)
3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):
  - Engage in thoughtful planning and redesign of existing road networks to ensure safety, access, and mobility of users of all ages and abilities.
  - Enhance the City's connectivity, resiliency, and equity through a better-connected multi-modal transportation system for all users.
  - Build on existing strengths while developing a comprehensive transportation plan for the future.
  - Develop environmentally sustainable infrastructure strategies that improve quality of life and foster economic growth.
  - Ensure adequate resources are provided for continued maintenance of existing infrastructure.
  - Focus on delivery of safe connections to schools.

### Prior Legislation

Click or tap here to list prior, related ordinances/resolutions.

### Service Level Impacts

Click or tap here to provide a description of how this ordinance will impact service levels. List any related key performance indicators and impact.

### Other Impacts



1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?  
Uncertain
2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?  
Uncertain
3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?  
Uncertain
4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?  
No (Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Click or tap here to enter text.

5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.

No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting)

Please provide reasoning why not:

Click or tap here to enter text.

6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?

No(Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?  
No(Press tab after selecting)



---

**File #: 240708**

---

ORDINANCE NO. 240708

Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Amending Chapter 50, Code of Ordinances, by repealing Section 50-276 relating to the prohibition on the possession, manufacture, transport, repair, and sale of certain weapons to remove the term bump stock from the definition of machine gun to conform with the U.S. Supreme Court decision in *Garland v. Cargill*.

WHEREAS, on August 10, 2023, the Council in Ordinance No. 230642 amended the Code of Ordinances by adding Section 50-276 that prohibits the possession, manufacture, transport, repair, and sale of certain weapons, including machine guns; and

WHEREAS, Code of Ordinances Section 50-276 includes in its definition of “machine gun” a firearm that is modified by a “bump stock.” This definition in Section 50-276 was reliant upon the definition of machine gun previously found in federal law, which included firearms modified by bump stocks in such definition; and

WHEREAS, on June 14, 2024, the U.S. Supreme Court in *Garland v. Cargill* struck down this portion of the federal law that defines a machine gun as a firearm with a bump stock; and

WHEREAS, to conform to the decision in *Garland v. Cargill* it is necessary to amend Code of Ordinances Section 50-276 to remove the term “bump stock” from the definition of “machine gun”; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. That Chapter 50, Code of Ordinances of the City of Kansas City, Missouri, is hereby amended by repealing the following Section 50-276 relating to weapons:

**Sec. 50-276. Possession; manufacture; transport; repair; sale of prohibited weapons.**

(a) *Definitions.*

- (1) *Firearm silencer* means any instrument, attachment, or appliance that is designed or adapted to muffle the noise made by the firing of any firearm.

- (2) *Machine gun* means any firearm that is capable of firing more than one shot automatically, without manual reloading, by a single function of the trigger, regardless of whether the firearm was originally manufactured as a machine gun or was modified by a switch, Glock switch, auto sear, trigger crank or any other manufactured or homemade component. The word "machine gun" includes include any part designed and intended solely and exclusively, or combination of parts designed and intended, for use in converting a weapon into a machinegun, and any combination of parts from which a machinegun can be assembled if such parts are in the possession or under the control of a person.
- (3) *Short barrel* means a barrel length of less than 16 inches for a rifle and 18 inches for a shotgun, both measured from the face of the bolt or standing breech, or an overall rifle or shotgun length of less than 26 inches.
- (4) *Shotgun* means any firearm designed or adapted to be fired from the shoulder and to use the energy of the explosive in a fixed shotgun shell to fire a number of shots or a single projectile through a smooth bore barrel by a single function of the trigger.

(b) *Unlawful possession, manufacture, transport, repair, or sale of a prohibited weapon.* A person commits the unlawful possession, manufacture, transport, repair, or sale of a prohibited weapon if the person knowingly possesses, manufactures, transports, repairs, or sells a machine gun, firearm silencer, or short-barreled rifle or shotgun in violation of federal law.

(c) *Violation.* Any person violating any provision of this section shall be deemed guilty of an ordinance violation.

(d) *Penalty.* The punishment for violating any provision of this section shall be the same as the punishment for the ordinance violation of unlawful use of weapons, which is defined by sections 50-261 and 50-262.

(e) *Severability.* If any section, subsection, word, or phrase in this law is held unenforceable, invalid, or illegal, every other section, subsection, word, and phrase shall continue to have the full force and effect of law.

..end

---

Approved as to form:

---

Andrew Bonkowski  
Assistant City Attorney

**No Docket  
Memo Provided  
for Ordinance  
No. 240708**



**File #: 240709**

ORDINANCE NO. 240709

Sponsor: Director of the Law Department

Approving and authorizing settlement in the amount of \$225,000.00 for a claim for a Workers' Compensation death benefit filed by the widow of Roger Rhoads for a pernicious lung disease alleged to have resulted from occupational exposure on or about March 2, 2017, while employed by the City.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. That settlement of the claim of Erin Rhoads, as spouse of Roger Rhoads, for Workers' Compensation benefits against the City of Kansas City, Missouri, for any and all injuries and illnesses resulting from occupational exposure while employed by the City, as more fully set forth and subject of a formal claim, Case No. 17-014553, presently pending before the Division of Workers' Compensation of the State of Missouri, by payment to Erin Rhoads of the sum of \$225,000.00, as recommended by the City Attorney and Risk Management Committee, is hereby approved.

Section 2. That the City Attorney is hereby authorized to pay the sum of \$225,000.00, in settlement of said claim from funds heretofore appropriated in Account No. 25-7020-071402-610400.

..end

I hereby certify that there is a balance, otherwise unencumbered, to the credit of the appropriation to which the foregoing expenditure is to be charged, and a cash balance, otherwise unencumbered, in the treasury, to the credit of the fund from which payment is to be made, each sufficient to meet the obligation hereby incurred.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Tammy L. Queen  
Director of Finance

Approved as to form:

---

Barry Bertram  
Associate City Attorney



# City of Kansas City, Missouri

## Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 240709

Submitted Department/Preparer: Law

Revised 6/10/24

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

### Executive Summary

To resolve the workers' compensation claim of Roger Rhoads, deceased, injury number 17-014553, for a lump sum of \$225,000.00.

### Discussion

Roger Rhoads was a firefighter who succumbed on February 5, 2018 due to an interstitial lung disease correlated with increased risk for firefighters. This is a full and final resolution of the ensuing death claim of his spouse, Erin Rhoads, for a lump sum of \$225,000.00 and represents a projected reduced exposure of an estimated \$1,500,000.00.

### Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget?  Yes  No
2. What is the funding source?  
Workers Compensation Fund, Account No. 25-7020-071402-610400.
3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?  
The specified amount is allocated toward the settlement for purposes described.
4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.  
It eliminates future lifetime weekly payments of \$923.01, estimated to be in excess of \$1,800,000.00.
5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?  
No.

**Office of Management and Budget Review**  
(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

- 1. This legislation is supported by the general fund.  Yes  No
- 2. This fund has a structural imbalance.  Yes  No
- 3. Account string has been verified/confirmed.  Yes  No

**Additional Discussion (if needed)**

Click or tap here to enter text.

**Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact**

- 1. View the [Adopted 2025-2029 Citywide Business Plan](#)
- 2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?  
Finance and Governance (Press tab after selecting.)
- 3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):
  - Ensure the resiliency of a responsive, representative, engaged, and transparent City government.
  - Engage in workforce planning including employee recruitment, development, retention, and engagement.
  - Foster a solutions-oriented, welcoming culture for employees and City Partners.
  - 
  - 
  -

**Prior Legislation**

N/A

**Service Level Impacts**

N/A

**Other Impacts**

- 1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?  
N/A.



2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?

N/A.

3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?

N/A.

4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?

No (Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Click or tap here to enter text.

5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.

No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting)

Please provide reasoning why not:

Click or tap here to enter text.

6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?

No(Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?

No(Press tab after selecting)



**File #: 240710**

ORDINANCE NO. 240710

Sponsor: Director of the Law Department

Approving and authorizing settlement in the amount of \$143,611.93 for a claim for a Workers' Compensation benefit filed by Blake Stewart for injuries resulting from an accident on August 27, 2021, while employed by the City.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. That settlement of the claim of Blake Stewart for Workers' Compensation benefits against the City of Kansas City, Missouri, for any and all injuries resulting from an accident while employed by the City, as more fully set forth and subject of a formal claim, Case No. 21-060356, presently pending before the Division of Workers' Compensation of the State of Missouri, by payment to Blake Stewart of the sum of \$143,611.93, as recommended by the City Attorney and Risk Management Committee, is hereby approved.

Section 2. That the City Attorney is hereby authorized to pay the sum of \$143,611.93, in settlement of said claim from funds heretofore appropriated in Account No. 25-7020-071402-610400.

..end

I hereby certify that there is a balance, otherwise unencumbered, to the credit of the appropriation to which the foregoing expenditure is to be charged, and a cash balance, otherwise unencumbered, in the treasury, to the credit of the fund from which payment is to be made, each sufficient to meet the obligation hereby incurred.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Tammy Queen  
Director of Finance

Approved as to form:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Barry Bertram

Associate City Attorney



# City of Kansas City, Missouri

## Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 240710

Submitted Department/Preparer: Law

Revised 6/10/24

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

### Executive Summary

To resolve the permanent partial disability portion of the workers' compensation claim of Blake Stewart, injury no. 21-060356, for a lump sum of \$143,611.93.

### Discussion

Blake Stewart is a codes inspector who fell from a ladder and experienced serious injuries to multiple body parts. This resolves the permanent partial disability portion of his workers' compensation claim, no. 21-060356, for a lump sum of \$143,611.93.

### Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget?  Yes  No
2. What is the funding source?  
Workers Compensation Fund, Account No. 25-7020-071402-610400.
3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?  
The specified amount is allocated toward the settlement for purposes described.
4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.  
The legislation does not have a future fiscal impact.
5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?  
No.

### Office of Management and Budget Review (OMB Staff will complete this section.)

1. This legislation is supported by the general fund.  Yes  No

2. This fund has a structural imbalance.  Yes  No
3. Account string has been verified/confirmed.  Yes  No

**Additional Discussion (if needed)**

Click or tap here to enter text.

### Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact

1. View the [Adopted 2025-2029 Citywide Business Plan](#)
2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?  
Finance and Governance (Press tab after selecting.)
3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):
  - Ensure the resiliency of a responsive, representative, engaged, and transparent City government.
  - Engage in workforce planning including employee recruitment, development, retention, and engagement.
  - Foster a solutions-oriented, welcoming culture for employees and City Partners.
  - 
  - 
  -

### Prior Legislation

N/A

### Service Level Impacts

This will not impact service.

### Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?  
N/A.
2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?  
N/A.

3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?  
N/A.

4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?  
No (Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Click or tap here to enter text.

5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.

No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting)

Please provide reasoning why not:

Click or tap here to enter text.

6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?

No(Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?

No(Press tab after selecting)



**File #: 240719**

ORDINANCE NO. 240719

Sponsor: Director of Civil Rights and Equal Opportunity (CREO) Department

Authorizing the Manager of Procurement Services to execute a \$404,998.00 professional services contract (EV3273) with Griffin & Strong, P.C. to conduct a disparity study; authorizing the Director of Civil Rights and Equal Opportunity Department to expend funds; and authorizing the Manager of Procurement Services to amend and extend the contract.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. That the Manager of Procurement Services is authorized to execute a \$404,998.00 professional services contract (EV3273) with Griffin & Strong, P.C. to conduct a disparity study.

Section 2. That the Director of Civil Rights and Equal Opportunity Department is authorized to spend up to \$404,998.00 for the disparity study contract from Account No. 25-1000- 542117-619850-B, Second Gen Disparity Study.

Section 3. That the Manager of Procurement Services is authorized to amend and extend the contract without additional council authorization.

..end

The City has no financial obligation under both this Ordinance and Contract until the Manager of Procurement Services issues a purchase order which shall be signed by the City's Director of Finance certifying there is a balance, otherwise unencumbered, to the credit of the appropriation to which the expenditure is to be charged, and a cash balance, otherwise unencumbered, in the treasury, to the credit of the fund from which payment will be made, each sufficient to meet the obligated incurred in the purchase order.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Tammy L. Queen  
Director of Finance

Approved as to form:

---

James M. Brady  
Assistant City Attorney





# City of Kansas City, Missouri

## Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 240719

Submitted Department/Preparer: CREO

Revised 6/10/24

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

### Executive Summary

This ordinance will authorize the execution of a contract for consultant services to conduct a Disparity Study in support of Kansas City Municipal code Chapter 3, Division 2, MWBE, Section 3-421 thru 3-469

### Discussion

A Disparity Study is used to establish the primary basis for determining the extent to which the procurement of construction and construction related services, professional services, design professional services; and the procurement of goods/supplies and services should be subject to a race and/or gender conscious remedial program supported by Kansas City Municipal code Chapter 3, Division 2, MWBE, Section 3-421 thru 3-469.

Additionally, it will provide the framework to establish a basis by which the City will renew or originate new goals that govern the participation of MWBEs in all procurement processes governed/authorized by the members of the City. It will also evaluate the need for the development of programs to enhance the City's current MWBE program and establish such recommendations. Finally, it will assist the City in addressing and recommending resolution for the concerns expressed by the U.S. Supreme Court in the City of Richmond v. J.A. Croson. 488 U.S. 469 (1989).

### Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget?  Yes  No
2. What is the funding source?  
General Fund – 1000-542117-619850
3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?  
One-time expense
4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.  
One-time expense no future impact

5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?

This contract is not revenue generating and will not produce a financial return on investment.

**Office of Management and Budget Review**

(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

1. This legislation is supported by the general fund.  Yes  No
2. This fund has a structural imbalance.  Yes  No
3. Account string has been verified/confirmed.  Yes  No

**Additional Discussion (if needed)**

**Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact**

1. View the [Adopted 2025-2029 Citywide Business Plan](#)
2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?  
Inclusive Growth and Development (Press tab after selecting.)
3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):
- Align the City's economic development strategies with the objectives of the City Council to ensure attention on areas traditionally underserved by economic development and redevelopment efforts.
  - Ensure quality, lasting development of new growth.
  - Increase and support local workforce development and minority, women, and locally owned businesses.
  - Create a solutions-oriented culture to foster a more welcoming business environment.
  - Leverage existing institutional assets to maintain and grow Kansas City's position as an economic hub in the Central United States.
  -

**Prior Legislation**

Ordinance 180535

## Service Level Impacts

This ordinance will impact the City's MWBE program which ensures utilization of certified firms on City and Incentive agency contracts

## Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?  
NA
2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?  
NA
3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?  
Click or tap here to enter text.
4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?  
No (Press tab after selecting)  
  
Click or tap here to enter text.  
Click or tap here to enter text.
5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.  
  
Yes - I have submitted documents for CREO Review (Press tab after selecting)  
Please attach or copy and paste CREO's review.  
Click or tap here to enter text.
6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?  
  
No(Press tab after selecting)  
  
Click or tap here to enter text.
7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?  
Yes(Press tab after selecting)

In an effort to prevent disclosure of confidential information, a separate communication will be provided to City Council members to reflect the top three proposers for the RFP/Q.



---

**File #: 240720**

---

RESOLUTION NO. 240720

Sponsor: Councilmember Nathan Willett

RESOLUTION - Directing the City Manager to stop using City funds or other resources in lawsuits against the Kansas City Missouri Board of Police Commissioners.

WHEREAS, by passing Ordinance No. 220056, the Council recognized that a public purpose was to be served through the retention of counsel and eventually advancing the City's interest through alignment with Mayor Lucas' challenges to Amendment 4 and Missouri HB 678 (2022); and

WHEREAS, the Missouri Supreme Court held that the 2022 ballot language for Amendment 4 was "materially inaccurate and seriously misleading" and was an election irregularity "of the highest conceivable magnitude" and ordered a new election; and

WHEREAS, with the new election result in August of 2024 approving Amendment No. 4, it is time to revisit that prior policy decision and ensure City resources are directed to their highest and best use moving forward; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. That the City Manager is directed to stop using City funds or other resources in lawsuits against the Kansas City, Missouri Board of Police Commissioners involving Amendment 4 and Missouri HB 678 (2022).

Section 2. That the City Manager is directed to present at the next business session of the Council a full accounting of the amount of money and other City resources expended in lawsuits against the Board of Police from May 2021 through the effective date of this resolution.

Section 3. That the City Attorney is directed to take reasonable steps, consistent with Missouri Supreme Court Rules, to withdraw from any current litigations involving Amendment 4 and Missouri HB 678 (2022) for which they are counsel of record.

..end

**No Docket  
Memo Provided  
for Ordinance  
No. 240720**



---

**File #: 240409**

---

ORDINANCE NO. 240409

Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Amending Chapter 70, Code of Ordinances, Traffic and Vehicles, by repealing and replacing Section 70-961, for the purpose of authorizing the City's automated camera enforcement system; directing the City Manager to issue a request for proposals to select a vendor for the automated camera enforcement system; and directing the City Manager to include certain requirements in the request for proposals.

WHEREAS, running red lights is a dangerous activity that can and does result in personal injury, even death, and property damage to people involved in accidents caused by persons ignoring traffic control signals; and

WHEREAS, vehicular speeding is a dangerous activity and vehicular speeding in the City of Kansas City is a concern of all its citizens; and

WHEREAS, the City formerly utilized an automated camera enforcement system to detect red light signal violations; and

WHEREAS, studies and data confirm that automated camera enforcement systems reduce collisions at intersections and improve public safety outcomes in other ways; and

WHEREAS, automated camera enforcement systems are used in approximately 330 communities across twenty-two states; and

WHEREAS, the Missouri Supreme Court has issued several opinions confirming that the use of automated camera enforcement systems does not conflict with state law and is a permissible law enforcement tool, but requiring cities which use them to meet certain burdens of proof in the prosecution of violations detected by the automated camera enforcement system, and providing cities with guidance on how to administer a camera enforcement program; and

WHEREAS, following implementation there should be regular automated camera enforcement systems program evaluation that utilizes crash and infraction data not just at the targeted intersections, but also in the broader community; and

WHEREAS, the information collected by automated camera enforcement systems shall be used only for the authorized purpose indicated under this ordinance, as otherwise required by law, and not sold, shared, or otherwise abused; and

WHEREAS, automated camera enforcement systems should prioritize safety, not revenue, and any revenue received by the City as a result of automated enforcement systems should therefore be allocated towards the City's internal cost to administer the program created under this ordinance and improving the safety of City streets for all users; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KANSAS CITY:

Section 1. That Chapter 70, Code of Ordinances of the City of Kansas City, is hereby amended by repealing Section 70-961, Violation of public safety at intersections and the automated camera enforcement of traffic control regulations, and replacing it with a section of like number and subject matter for the purpose of authorizing the City's automated camera enforcement of traffic control regulations, to read as follows:

**Sec. 70-961. Automated camera enforcement of traffic control regulations.**

(a) *Definitions.* For the purpose of this section, the following words and phrases shall have the meaning given herein:

- (1) *Artificial intelligence system:* A technological system that, autonomously or partly autonomously, processes data related to human activities through use of a genetic algorithm, a neural network, machine learning or another technique to generate content or make decisions, recommendations, or predictions. It does not include passive computing infrastructure intermediary technology that does not influence or determine the outcome of decision, make or aid in decisions, inform policy implementation, or collect data or observations, such as web hosting, domain registration, networking, caching, data storage, or cybersecurity.
- (2) *Authorized employees:* Employees of the Kansas City Police Department ("KCPD") who are commissioned officers and who are authorized by the Chief of the KCPD to access the images, video, and data collected by the City's automated camera enforcement system.
- (3) *Automated camera enforcement system:* A system that:
  - i. Consists of cameras installed or deployed to work in conjunction with electrically operated traffic control signals or other methods of activation or detection;
  - ii. Is capable of recording the date and time of the alleged violation;
  - iii. Is capable of recording the time elapsed under the red light;
  - iv. Is capable of recording the speed of the vehicle involved in the alleged violation;



- v. Is capable of recording and producing video footage of the incident which is an alleged violation of an ordinance of the City of Kansas City; and
  - vi. Is capable of taking and producing not less than four (4) high-resolution color digital recorded images that show the incident which is an alleged violation of an ordinance of the City of Kansas City, including, at a minimum:
    - 1. An image before the alleged violation occurred, that shows the front or back of the vehicle involved in the alleged violation;
    - 2. An image after the incident occurred, that shows the front or back of the vehicle involved in the alleged violation;
    - 3. The license plate of the vehicle involved in the alleged violation; and
    - 4. The face of the operator of the vehicle involved in the alleged violation.
- (4) *Operator*: The individual driving and/or in possession of a vehicle.
- (5) *Recorded Images*. Photographs, digital images, microphotographs, micrographs, videotape, digital video, or other recorded images.
- (6) *Surveillance program*. Any program, electronic or otherwise, that has its primary purpose the monitoring of the behavior or activities of a person or people or gathering information on a person or people.
- (7) *Traffic violation*. Either a red-light violation or a vehicular speeding violation.
- (b) *Automated camera enforcement system authorized*.
- (1) System authorized. An automated camera enforcement system is hereby authorized to be installed and operated within the City for the purpose of detecting traffic violations at intersections or other system locations.
  - (2) Locations. Specific system locations shall be determined from time to time by the director of public works in conjunction with, but not limited to, the following information:
    - i. Data on vehicular crash locations in the City, including side impact and turning crashes, crashes involving pedestrians and bicyclists, and crashes resulting in injuries or fatalities;

- ii. Data on traffic control ordinance violations, including violations pertaining to the running of red lights, vehicular crashes, and speeding;
- iii. Traffic volume on streets or highways associated with potential locations;
- iv. Equity and environmental factors associated with potential locations; and
- v. Community feedback regarding intersections of concern in the City.

(c) *Authorized use of automated camera enforcement system.* The automated camera enforcement system may be used by the KCPD to issue violation notices for alleged violations of City ordinances and state laws. Only authorized employees may access the system provided by the automated camera enforcement system vendor that collects, stores, and provides recorded images and other data for the City's automated camera enforcement program.

(d) *Designation of authorized employees.* No later than sixty (60) days prior to the first date the automated camera enforcement system becomes operations, the KCPD shall inform the Director of Public Works of the employees who will be designated as authorized employees. The KCPD shall inform the Director of Public Works of any additional employees designated as authorized employees no later than one (1) week prior to such individuals gaining access to the system provided by the automated camera system vendor that collects, stores, and provides recorded images and other data for the City's automated camera enforcement program.

(e) *Probable Cause.* Authorized employees shall examine the images and other data collected pursuant to this section to determine whether probable cause exists for a violation of City ordinances or state laws. For any alleged traffic violations, probable cause shall not exist unless the identity of the operator of the vehicle at the time of the alleged violation is determined. An authorized employee may use any lawful means to identify an individual allegedly violating any City ordinance or state law, but in no instance shall artificial intelligence systems, as defined in Section 70-961(a), be used to identify the individual. Probable cause for alleged traffic violations detected through the automated camera enforcement system created under this section may be deemed to exist if it is in compliance with Supreme Court Rule 37 and if the recorded images and other data show the following:

- (1) An alleged violation of the ordinances of the City of Kansas City or state laws;
- (2) The date and time of the alleged violation;
- (3) The vehicle involved in the alleged violation;
- (4) The letters or numbers on the vehicle's license plate or temporary tag, as well as the state in which the license plate or temporary tag was issued;
- (5) The face of the operator of the vehicle;

(6) The traffic control signal while it is emitting a steady red signal, as applicable; and

(7) The speed of the vehicle, as applicable.

(f) *Prosecution.*

(1) The prosecution for an alleged violation of City ordinance or state law utilizing information from the automated camera enforcement system shall be conducted in compliance with Missouri Supreme Court Rules, including Rule 37 or its successor.

(2) A notice of a traffic violation, including a red-light violation, alleged as a result of the automated camera enforcement system created under this section shall only be issued to an individual who authorized employees have determined, pursuant to subsection (e) above, was the operator of the vehicle at the time of the alleged violation. The notice of violation shall comply with the requirements of Missouri Supreme Court Rule 37.33 or its successor, and shall also provide the following information gathered from the recorded alleged violation, including:

i. The date and time of the alleged red light violation;

ii. The time elapsed under the red light, as applicable;

iii. The speed of the vehicle involved in the red light violation, as applicable;

iv. How to access any video footage of the alleged traffic violation; and

v. Any images that show the alleged traffic violation including, at a minimum:

1. An image before the violation occurred, that shows the front or back of the vehicle involved in the alleged violation;

2. An image after the incident occurred, that shows the front or back of the vehicle involved in the alleged violation;

3. The license plate of the vehicle involved in the alleged violation; and

4. The face of the operator of the vehicle involved in the traffic violation.

(g) *Prohibited use of automated camera enforcement system.* In no instance shall the automated camera enforcement system be used by the KCPD or any authorized employees for or in support of any of the following:

- (1) Prosecuting, issuing violation notices, or other enforcement activities for:
  - i. A red-light violation for a legal right turn made after the operator of a vehicle brings the vehicle to a complete stop at a red light such that the wheels of the vehicle stop moving;
  - ii. Activity protected under the First Amendment;
  - iii. The sleeping, camping, eating, sitting, or asking for money or resources in public spaces by unhoused persons; or
  - iv. Activities part of or otherwise in support of any surveillance program or artificial intelligence system, as defined by section 70-961(a).
- (2) In no instance shall the KCPD or any authorized employee provide access to, share, or otherwise make available or accessible the recorded images and other data collected through the City's automated camera enforcement system to or for:
  - i. Any artificial intelligence system, as defined by section 70-961(a), including, but not limited to facial recognition systems;
  - ii. Any electronic surveillance program of citizens, as defined in Section 70-961(a);
  - iii. Any individuals, parties, or entities who are not authorized employees, other than individuals, parties, or entities involved in the prosecution of, defense against, or adjudication of an alleged violation detected through the automated camera enforcement system; or
  - iv. Any purpose other than for the implementation and administration of the City's automated camera enforcement system.

(h) *Public awareness.*

- (1) The Director of Public Works, in partnership with the KCPD and any other City departments as may be necessary, shall develop strategies to raise public awareness of the implementation of the automated camera enforcement system in the City. Such awareness strategies shall be implemented by the Director of Public Works, in partnership with the KCPD and any other City departments as may be necessary, no less than sixty (60) days prior to the first date the automated camera enforcement system becomes operational.
- (2) Any automated camera enforcement system located on a street or highway must be identified by appropriate warning signs conspicuously posted either at the major roadways entering the City, or not more than three hundred (300) feet from

the location of the automated camera enforcement system location. The placement of all advance warning signs must be approved by the Director of Public Works, working in partnership with the KCPD and any other City departments as may be necessary.

(i) *Program assessment.*

(1) One (1) year following the first date the automated camera enforcement system become operational in the City, and every year thereafter, the Director of Public Works shall compile information assessing the outcomes of the automated camera enforcement system program created by this section, including the following:

- i. The number of crashes at each intersection where an automated camera enforcement system has been installed or deployed;
- ii. The number of crashes at intersections where an automated camera enforcement system has not been installed or deployed;
- iii. The number of violations issued utilizing the automated camera enforcement system;
- iv. The number of violations issued utilizing the automated camera enforcement system at each intersection where an automated camera enforcement system has been installed or deployed;
- v. The number of violations issued utilizing the automated camera enforcement system, by zip code where the violation allegedly occurred;
- vi. Anonymized demographic data, to the extent it is available, of individuals who have received violations through the automated camera enforcement system, including their race and residential zip code; and
- vii. Information regarding the cameras in use, including:
  1. The number of cameras;
  2. The location of cameras;
  3. The time intervals for which the recorded images and other data collected by the automated camera enforcement system are stored and destroyed; and
  4. As authorized under Chapter 610, RSMo, or its successor, the authorized employees who have access to the system provided by the automated camera enforcement system vendor that collects,

stores, and provides recorded images and other data for the City's automated camera enforcement program.

- (2) The Director of Public Works shall provide a copy of the compiled information to the City Council.
- (3) As part of this annual assessment, the Director of Public Works, in partnership with the KCPD, determine whether to install or deploy automated camera enforcement systems at additional intersections, or to remove existing installed or deployed automated camera enforcement systems from intersections.

(j) *Revenue.* All fines issued by the courts pursuant to traffic violations prosecuted utilizing the automated camera enforcement system shall be paid to and through the courts, with monies distributed by the court according to law. Fines shall not be collected or paid directly to the vendor. Any revenue received by the City from the courts as a result of the automated camera enforcement system shall be used exclusively for the following purposes:

- (1) City and administrative court costs associated with the automated camera enforcement system created under this section; and
- (2) The remainder shall be deposited into a fund to be used solely for the improvement of traffic safety throughout the City.

Section 2. That the City Manager is hereby directed to issue a request for proposals to select a vendor for an automated camera enforcement system. Such request for proposals shall include, but not be limited to, the following requests for information:

- a. The vendor's ability to provide an automated camera enforcement system that meets, at minimum, the qualifications outlined in this Section Two;
- b. The vendor's ability to install, maintain and quickly repair all automated camera enforcement system equipment;
- c. The vendor's ability to cover all associated costs of implementation, installation, maintenance, repair, and operation of an automated camera enforcement system, and their proposed cost-sharing model with the City for doing so;
- d. The vendor's ability to provide and maintain signage required under this Ordinance;
- e. The vendor's ability to review footage for potential violations of City ordinances and state law and communicate such potential violations to the KCPD;
- f. The vendor's ability to assist in determining the identity of the operator of a vehicle allegedly committing a traffic violation;

- g. The vendor's ability to allow authorized employees of the KCPD to access or view, at any time, recorded images captured through the City's automated camera enforcement program;
- h. The vendor's ability to safeguard all recorded images and other data the vendor collects through the City's automated camera enforcement system from unauthorized access, including but not limited to the vendor's use of encryption, compliance with CRS standards, and access control mechanisms;
- i. The vendor's ability to ensure that all recorded images and other data the vendor collects through the City's automated camera enforcement system are accessed only by authorized employees;
- j. The vendor's data selling practices, and ability and willingness to ensure that no recorded images or other data the vendor collects through the City's automated camera enforcement system is sold, distributed, viewed, or otherwise exchanged for any value;
- k. The vendor's ability to maintain an audit log of individuals who access the recorded images and other data the vendor collects through the City's automated camera enforcement system;
- l. The vendor's ability to retain and destroy recorded images and other data the vendor collects through the City's automated camera enforcement system, including at intervals as directed by the City;
- m. The vendor's ability to provide individuals who receive a violation notice under this ordinance alleging a violation of City ordinance or state law with the recorded images collected by the City's automated camera enforcement system relating to the alleged violation;
- n. The vendor's ability to support the production of separate violation notices, one of which will include all the alleged violator's information that will be provided to the alleged violator, and one of which will be redacted of the alleged violator's sensitive personal information that will be entered on the court record; and
- o. The vendor's ability to meet any applicable requirements established by the State of Missouri and federal law for automated camera enforcement systems.

Section 3. In addition to the information requested above, a vendor to provide an automated camera enforcement system shall also meet the following requirements:

- a. **Minimum Vendor System Requirements.** The system provided by the vendor shall provide authorized employees with the ability to review potential violations for tickets to be issued. The system shall further allow authorized employees to

retrieve, at any time, recorded images captured through the City's automated camera enforcement program.

- b. Vendor Maintenance Requirements. The vendor selected shall be responsible for the installation, implementation, maintenance, and repair of the automated camera enforcement system equipment and software and all costs associated therein. In the event of automated camera enforcement system equipment failure, malfunction, damage, or other circumstances which render the automated camera enforcement equipment less effective, negatively impact operations under this ordinance, or otherwise necessitate maintenance, the vendor shall quickly repair and return to operational status all automated camera enforcement system equipment. The vendor shall also be responsible for the installation, implementation, maintenance and repair of signage required under this ordinance.
- c. Vendor Information Protection Requirements. The vendor selected shall safeguard all recorded images and other data the vendor collects through the City's automated camera enforcement system from access by individuals other than authorized employees. The vendor shall ensure that all recorded images and other data the vendor collects through the City's automated camera enforcement system are accessed only by authorized employees or as otherwise provided by law or required by official duties for a purpose authorized by law.
- d. Vendor Prohibitions. In no instance shall the vendor sell or otherwise provide access to, share, or otherwise make available or accessible the recorded images and other data collected through the City's automated camera enforcement system for anything of value. The vendor shall not provide access to, share, sell, or otherwise make available or accessible images, video, and other data the vendor collects through the City's automated camera enforcement system to or for:
  - i. Any artificial intelligence system, as defined in section 70-961(a), including, but not limited, to facial recognition systems;
  - ii. Any electronic surveillance program of citizens, as defined in section 70-961(a);
  - iii. Any individuals, parties, or entities who are not authorized employees, other than individuals, parties, or entities involved in the prosecution of, defense against, or adjudication of an alleged violation detected through the automated camera enforcement system created under this ordinance; or
  - iv. Any purpose other than for the implementation and administration of the City's automated camera enforcement system.
- e. Other Vendor Requirements. The operation of the automated camera enforcement system and the vendor must comply with the requirements for admission of photographic and video evidence under the "silent witness doctrine" in *State v.*



*Moyle*, 532 S.W.3d 733 (Mo.App.W.D. 2017), and *State v. Whittier*, 591 S.W.3d 19 (Mo.App.E.D. 2019), provided that precedent is not overturned, to provide a live witness to testify at trials pertaining to violations issued pursuant to this ordinance, and to establish any legal foundation that may be necessary in order for video from unattended cameras operating pursuant to this ordinance to be submitted as evidence for such trials.

..end

---

Approved as to form:

---

Andrew Bonkowski  
Assistant City Attorney



# City of Kansas City, Missouri

## Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution #: 240409

Submitted Department/Preparer: Mayor/Council's Office

Revised 11/01/23

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

### Executive Summary

Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Amending Chapter 70, Code of Ordinances, Traffic and Vehicles, by repealing and replacing Section 70-961, for the purpose of authorizing the City's automated camera enforcement system; directing the City Manager to issue a request for proposals to select a vendor for the automated camera enforcement system; and directing the City Manager to include certain requirements in the request for proposals

### Discussion

Sponsor: Mayor Quinton Lucas

Amending Chapter 70, Code of Ordinances, Traffic and Vehicles, by repealing and replacing Section 70-961, for the purpose of authorizing the City's automated camera enforcement system; directing the City Manager to issue a request for proposals to select a vendor for the automated camera enforcement system; and directing the City Manager to include certain requirements in the request for proposals

### Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget?  Yes  No
2. What is the funding source?  
N/A
3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?  
N/A
4. Does the legislation have a fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.  
No
5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?  
No

## Office of Management and Budget Review

(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

1. This legislation is supported by the general fund.  Yes  No
2. This fund has a structural imbalance.  Yes  No
3. Account string has been verified/confirmed.  Yes  No

## Additional Discussion (if needed)

Click or tap here to enter text.

### Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact

1. View the [FY23 Citywide Business Plan](#)
2. Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?  
Infrastructure and Accessibility (Press tab after selecting.)
3. Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):
  - Engage in thoughtful planning and redesign of existing road networks to ensure safety, access, and mobility of users of all ages and abilities.
  - Enhance the City's connectivity, resiliency, and equity through a better-connected multi-modal transportation system for all users.
  - Build on existing strengths while developing a comprehensive transportation plan for the future.
  - Develop environmentally sustainable infrastructure strategies that improve quality of life and foster economic growth.
  - Ensure adequate resources are provided for continued maintenance of existing infrastructure.
  - Focus on delivery of safe connections to schools.

### Prior Legislation

Click or tap here to list prior, related ordinances/resolutions.

### Service Level Impacts

Click or tap here to provide a description of how this ordinance will impact service levels. List any related key performance indicators and impact.

## Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?  
Reduces traffic deaths

2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?  
N/A

3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?  
Reducing traffic deaths attract residents to area

4. Does this legislation create or preserve new housing units?  
No (Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Click or tap here to enter text.

5. Department staff certifies the submission of any application Affirmative Action Plans or Certificates of Compliance, Contractor Utilization Plans (CUPs), and Letters of Intent to Subcontract (LOIs) to CREO prior to, or simultaneously with, the legislation entry request in Legistar.

No - CREO's review is not applicable (Press tab after selecting)

Please provide reasoning why not:

Click or tap here to enter text.

6. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from an Invitation for Bid?

No(Press tab after selecting)

Click or tap here to enter text.

7. Does this legislation seek to approve a contract resulting from a Request for Proposal/Qualification (RFP/Q)?

No(Press tab after selecting)

