-										
LEGISLATIVE FISCAL NOTE							LATION /IBER:			
LEGISLATION IN BRIEF:										
Reducing the Contingent Appropriation by \$1,839,572.00 in the General Fund; appropriating the amount of \$1,839,572.00 to the Automobile Liability										
Fund; and authorizing the City Attorney to engage in the resolution of the following cases: Elwood, et al v. City of Kansas City, Missouri, et al., Case No. 2216-CV01501; Holland v. City of Kansas City, Missouri, et al., Case No. 2216-CV01773; Ross v. City of Kansas City, Missouri, et al., Case No. 2216-CV03284; and Broadway-Westport Property, Inc. v. City of Kansas City, Missouri, et al., Case No. 2216-CV03284; and Broadway-Westport Property, Inc. v. City of Kansas City, Missouri, et al., Case No. 2216-CV03284; and Broadway-Westport Property, Inc. v. City of Kansas City, Missouri, et al., Case No. 2216-CV03284; and Broadway-Westport Property, Inc. v. City of Kansas City, Missouri, et al., Case No. 2216-CV03284; and Broadway-Westport Property, Inc. v. City of Kansas City, Missouri, et al., Case No. 2216-CV03284; and Broadway-Westport Property, Inc. v. City of Kansas City, Missouri, et al., Case No. 2216-CV06913.										
What is the purpose of this legislation? OPERATIONAL										
For the purpose of authorizing expenditures new or planned to conduct municipal services										
Does this legislation spend money?									YES	Yes/No
See	e Sections ()1, 02 and 0	03 for sources of f	unding					-	
Does this legislation estimate new Revenues?									NO	Yes/No
0										-
Does this Legislation Increase Appropriations?									YES	Yes/No
See Section 03 for increases in appropriations										
Are costs associated with this legislation ongoing (Yes)? Or one-time (No) NO Yes/No										
See Section 00: "Notes" Below										
Section 00: Notes:										
Resolution of the following cases: Elwood, et al v. City of Kansas City, Missouri, et al., Case No. 2216-CV01501; Holland v. City of Kansas City, Missouri, et										
al., Case No. 2216-CV01773; Ross v. City of Kansas City, Missouri, et al., Case No. 2216-CV03284; and Broadway-Westport Property, Inc. v. City of Kansas										
City, Missouri, et al., Case No. 2216- CV06913,										
Five years of operational costs for ongoing programs should be included in Section 04 below.										
FINANCIAL IMPACT OF LEGISLATION										
Section 01: If applicable, where are funds appropriated in the current budget?										
	FUND DEPTID ACCOUNT F				PROJECT		FY 22-23 BUD		FY 23	-24 EST
	1000 179990 B						1,839,	1,839,572.00		
Sectio	n 02: If app	olicable, wh	nere will new rev	enues l	be estimated	4?				
	FUND DEPTID ACCOUNT				PROJECT	DJECT FY 22-23 BU		23 BUD	FY 23-24 EST	
Sectio	n 03: lf app	olicable, wh	nere will appropri	ations	be increase	d?				
	FUND DEPTID ACCOUNT		F	PROJECT		FY 22-23 BUD		FY 23-24 EST		
	7010 131545 618200						1,839,572			
NET IMPACT ON OPERATIONAL BUDGET							(1,	839,572.00)		-
RESER						ATUS:	DRAW ON RESERVES			
			SECTION 04:	FIVE-Y	EAR FISCAL I	MPACT (Dir	ect and indir	ect)		
FUND	FUND	NAME	FY 22-23	_	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	FY 25-26	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	All Outyears
1000	Gener	al Fund	1,839,57	2						
TOTAL REV 1,839,572									-	-
FUND FUND NAME			FY 22-23	_	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	FY 25-26	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	All Outyears
7010	7010 City Legal Expense		1,839,57	1,839,572						
	T	OTAL EXP	1,839,57	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET	Per-YEAR	IMPACT	-		-	-	-	-	-	-
NET IMPACT (SIX YEARS) -										
REVIEWED BY Robyn Cottin						DATE		11/10/2022		

User entered field User select from menu Calculated Field