## City of Kansas City, Missouri



**Docket Memo** 

Ordinance/Resolution # 230320

Submitted Department/Preparer: Mayor/Council's Office

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in Administrative Regulation (AR) 4-1.

## **Executive Summary**

Amending Committee Substitute for Ordinance No. 200182, passed on March 5, 2020, by repealing Conditions 3, 4, 6 and 33 contained in Section B of the Ordinance, which required the developer to install a traffic signal at the intersection of N.E. Barry Road and N. Indiana Avenue, make other related intersection improvements, and install a southbound right turn lane to westbound Highway 152 on ramp prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy for Phase 1 of Staley Corners East; and amending Committee Substitute for Ordinance No. 200183, passed on March 26, 2020, by repealing Condition 4 contained in Section B of the Ordinance to remove the requirement for the construction of a second northbound left turn lane on N. Indiana Avenue at its intersection with N.E. Barry Road.

#### **Discussion**

Removing the requirement for the developer to install a traffic signal at the intersection of NE Barry Rd and N. Indiana Ave, and to install a southbound right turn lane to westbound Highway 152 on ramp prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy and removing the requirement to construction of a second northbound left turn lane on N. Indiana Avenue at its intersection with N.E. Barry Road.

### **Fiscal Impact**

1.	Is this legislation included in the adopted budget?	☐ Yes	$\boxtimes$ No
----	---	-------	----------------

2. What is the funding source?

The funding source for this legislation is yet to be determined.

3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?
N/A

4. Does the legislation have fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.

The estimated fiscal impact of this legislation, should the City be required to make the required improvements, would be \$2.1 million.





## Docket Memo

5.	5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?								
	No.								
	e of Management and Budget Review Staff will complete this section.)								
1.	This legislation is supported by the general fund.	□ Yes	□ No						
2.	This fund has a structural imbalance.	☐ Yes	□ No						
Addit	tional Discussion (if needed)								
	Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact								
Vi	ew the <u>FY23 Citywide Business Plan</u>								
W	hich CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?								
	Infrastructure and Accessibility (Press tab after selecting.)								
W	hich objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):								
	☐ Enhance the City's connectivity, resiliency, and equity through a safe, efficient, convenient, inclusive, accessible, sustainable and better connected multi-modal transportation system								
×	Develop environmentally sound and sustainable infrastructure strate quality of life and foster economic growth	gies that im	prove						
	Increase and support local workforce development and minority, workforce businesses	men, and lo	cally-						
	☐ Engage in efforts to strategically invest in the City's infrastructure and technologies	d explore en	nerging						

## **Prior Legislation**

# City of Kansas City, Missouri



**Docket Memo** 

200182, 200183, 200932, 200931

### **Service Level Impacts**

All incentivized projects shall substantially complete construction within three years of the incentive approval date or the awarded incentive shall expire, unless the City Council has specifically modified the time period for completion by ordinance or resolution.

#### **Other Impacts**

N/A						

2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?

N/A

3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?

N/A

4. Does the ordinance/resolution include Civil Rights antidiscrimination requirements in compliance with the Code of Ordinances (Chapter 38, titled "Civil Rights")?

N/A

5. Has the ordinance/resolution been submitted for review of economic equity & inclusion requirements in compliance with the Code of Ordinances (Chapter 3, titled "Contracts and Leases")?

N/A