

Ordinance/Resolution # 230453

Submitted Department/Preparer: Law

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in Administrative Regulation (AR) 4-1.

Executive Summary

To seek Council approval and authorization of \$488,755.00 for settlement of the lawsuit styled Huda Saad v. John M. Pisciotta and City of Kansas City, Missouri, Case No. 21CY-CV07271.

Discussion

This ordinance will approve the settlement of a lawsuit captioned Huda Saad v. John M. Pisciotta and City of Kansas City, Missouri, Case No. 21CY-CV07271 related to an incident on June 9, 2021, near 96th Street and North Oak Trafficway. The Law Department and Risk Management Committee recommend a settlement of \$488,755.00.

Fiscal Impact

1.	Is this legislation included in the adopted budget?	⊠ Yes	□ No
----	---	-------	------

2. What is the funding source?

24-7010-131545-B-618200

3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?

The current fiscal year will experience a reduction in funds for the settlement amount described above.

4. Does the legislation have fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.

No.

5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?

No.

Budget Review

(Staff will complete this section.)					
1. This legislation is supported by the general fund.	□ Yes	⊠ No			
2. This fund has a structural imbalance.	□ Yes	⊠ No			
Additional Discussion (if needed)					
Click or tap here to enter text.					
Citywide Business Plan Impact					
Finance and Government.					
Prior Legislation					
None.					
Service Level Impacts					
This ordinance should not impact service levels.					
Other Impacts					
What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?					

None.

2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?

N/A.

3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?

This ordinance will have no impact on sustainability.

4. Does the ordinance/resolution include Civil Rights antidiscrimination requirements in compliance with the City Charter (Chapter 38, titled "Civil Rights")?

No - such does not apply.



5. Has the ordinance/resolution been submitted for review of economic equity & inclusion requirements in compliance with the City Charter (Chapter 3, titled "Contracts and Leases")?

No - such does not apply.