



City of Kansas City, Missouri

Docket Memo

Ordinance/Resolution # 230455

Submitted Department/Preparer: Public Works

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in [Administrative Regulation \(AR\) 4-1](#).

Executive Summary

Reducing an appropriation in the amount of \$1,083,116.48 in the General Obligation Series 2020 and 2021 Bond Fund from the Noland Road & Missouri Route 350 Highway project in District 5; appropriating \$1,083,116.48 from the Unappropriated Fund Balance in the General Obligation Series 2020 and 2021 Bond Funds to the 63rd Street from Woodland Avenue to Prospect Avenue project account and to the 63rd Street and Zoo Drive project account; and recognizing this ordinance as having an accelerated effective date.

Discussion

The 63rd Street - Woodland Avenue to Prospect Avenue reconstruction project is currently underway. Additional funds are needed to complete construction of Park Avenue at its intersection with 63rd Street to allow access to a prospective economic development project. The Zoo Drive project has also been bid and requires additional funds to award a construction contract. All funds are remaining within District 5 and both Councilmembers have approved the transfer.

This transfer has been reviewed and approved by Bond council.

Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget? ☐ Yes ☒ No

2. What is the funding source?

GO Bond 3520 and 3521

3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?

This contract expends funds currently in the project accounts

4. Does the legislation have fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.

This legislation reallocates funds from previous GO bond projects in District 5 to other projects within the same council district.

5. Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or deliver a return on investment?



City of Kansas City, Missouri

Docket Memo

No new revenue.

Office of Management and Budget Review

(OMB Staff will complete this section.)

1. This legislation is supported by the general fund. ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. This fund has a structural imbalance. ☐ Yes ☒ No

Additional Discussion (if needed)

Click or tap here to enter text.

Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact

View the [FY23 Citywide Business Plan](#)

Which CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?

Infrastructure and Accessibility (Press tab after selecting.)

Which objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):

- ☒ Enhance the City's connectivity, resiliency, and equity through a safe, efficient, convenient, inclusive, accessible, sustainable and better connected multi-modal transportation system
- ☐ Develop environmentally sound and sustainable infrastructure strategies that improve quality of life and foster economic growth
- ☐ Increase and support local workforce development and minority, women, and locally-owned businesses
- ☒ Engage in efforts to strategically invest in the City's infrastructure and explore emerging technologies
- ☐
- ☐

Prior Legislation

Ordinance 220551 allocated \$7,520,000 towards the 63rd St-Woodland to Prospect project.
Ordinance 230439 allocated \$785,408.91 towards the Zoo Drive project.



City of Kansas City, Missouri

Docket Memo

Service Level Impacts

Both projects are expected to have a positive impact on connectivity for residents and LOS for street maintenance.

Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?

none

2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?

The City has previously engaged with the public regarding the 63rd St - Woodland to Prospect Project and the Zoo Drive project.

3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?

n/a

4. Does the ordinance/resolution include Civil Rights antidiscrimination requirements in compliance with the Code of Ordinances (Chapter 38, titled "Civil Rights")?

yes

5. Has the ordinance/resolution been submitted for review of economic equity & inclusion requirements in compliance with the Code of Ordinances (Chapter 3, titled "Contracts and Leases")?

Yes