

Ordinance/Resolution # 230595

Submitted Department/Preparer: Please Select

Docket memos are required on all ordinances initiated by a Department Director. More information can be found in Administrative Regulation (AR) 4-1.

## **Executive Summary**

Appropriating \$500,000 from the Unappropriated Fund Balance of the Street Maintenance Fund to the Interstate 70 (I-70) Second Tier Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) project.

## Discussion

The Missouri Department of Transportation is replacing the existing bridge along Prospect Avenue over Interstate 70 which also provides a crucial roadway connection for the City. The City desires to provide additional aesthetic enhancements to this bridge to help highlight the City and encourage more modes of transportation along this corridor.

In coordination with the City Council representatives from the 3<sup>rd</sup> Distrct, enhancements have been selected to increase the aesthetic appeal of the bridge, while trying to minimize the current and fiscal impact for the City. These enhancements include upgraded pedestrian level lighting, upgraded fencing, and additional enhancements to the walls along the Interstate below the bridge.

## Fiscal Impact

1. Is this legislation included in the adopted budget?

 $\square$  Yes  $\square$  No

2. What is the funding source?

Street Maintenance Fund

3. How does the legislation affect the current fiscal year?

This ordinance will reduce unappropriated fund balance of the Street Maintenance Fund by \$500,000 for the replacement of the Prospect Avenue bridge over I-70.

4. Does the legislation have fiscal impact in future fiscal years? Please notate the difference between one-time and recurring costs.



The funding pays for aesthetics enhancements that will incur long-term maintenance costs for the City. These enhancements include, but are not limited to replacement of bulbs, repairs to light fixtures, and other related expenses.

	, 1		
5.	Does the legislation generate revenue, leverage outside funding, or delivinvestment?	ver a retur	n on
	N/A		
	e of Management and Budget Review 3 Staff will complete this section.)		
1.	This legislation is supported by the general fund.	□ Yes	⊠ No
2.	This fund has a structural imbalance.	□Yes	⊠ No
Addit	ional Discussion (if needed)		
pr	ne Street Maintenance Fund has Unappropriated Fund Balance available to oposed amount. The preliminary unreserve fund balance of the Street Ma \$9.35 million, subject to change with fiscal year end 2023 not closed at the street Management of the S	aintenance	
	Citywide Business Plan (CWBP) Impact		
Vi	iew the FY23 Citywide Business Plan		
W	Thich CWBP goal is most impacted by this legislation?		
	Infrastructure and Accessibility (Press tab after selecting.)		
W	hich objectives are impacted by this legislation (select all that apply):		
Σ	Enhance the City's connectivity, resiliency, and equity through a safe, e convenient, inclusive, accessible, sustainable and better connected mult transportation system		
Σ	Develop environmentally sound and sustainable infrastructure strategie quality of life and foster economic growth	s that imp	rove
	Increase and support local workforce development and minority, women owned businesses	en, and loo	cally-

☐ Engage in efforts to strategically invest in the City's infrastructure and explore emerging technologies
Prior Legislation
Click or tap here to list prior related ordinances/resolutions.
Service Level Impacts
N/A
Other Impacts

1. What will be the potential health impacts to any affected groups?

There will be no negative health impacts.

2. How have those groups been engaged and involved in the development of this ordinance?

Engagement on the project has been handed by the Missouri Department of Transportation who is in control of the proposed construction project.

3. How does this legislation contribute to a sustainable Kansas City?

Provides enhancements to the multi-modal options included with the project to encourage more use by the City's residents.

4. Does the ordinance/resolution include Civil Rights antidiscrimination requirements in compliance with the Code of Ordinances (Chapter 38, titled "Civil Rights")?

N/A

5. Has the ordinance/resolution been submitted for review of economic equity & inclusion requirements in compliance with the Code of Ordinances (Chapter 3, titled "Contracts and Leases")?

N/A