COMMUNITY PROJECT/REZONING

Ordinance Fact Sheet

Case No. CD-CPC-2021-00093

Brief Title

A request to approve a rezoning from District R-7.5 (Residential 7.5) to District B1-1 (Neighborhood Business 1 dash 1) on about 1.5 acres located at 5200 Raytown Road, to allow for retail sales.

Details
Location: 5200 Raytown Road
Reason for Legislation: Rezonings require Council approval.
PLAN REVIEW The existing building on the property has been occupied by various retail sales businesses since around 1940, including a dairy store, convenience store, retail flowers, TV repair and a carry-out BBQ business, all under the CLNU classification. In June 2018, there was a fire in the building that, because of problems with the renovation contractor, caused the building to be vacant for almost 2 years. As a result, the property has lost its Certificate of Legal-Nonconformance and the applicant requests to rezone the property to B1-1 to allow for retail sales. The applicant has indicated that he has a prospective tenant that would like to operate a retail clothing business out of the existing building on the site.
REZONING ANALYSIS In reviewing and making decisions on proposed zoning map amendments, the city planning and development director, city plan commission, and city council must consider at least the following
factors: 88-515-08-A. Conformance with adopted plans and planning policies; The proposed rezoning complies with the recommended land use map of the Blue Ridge Area Plan within the area on which the building is located.
88-515-08-B. Zoning and use of nearby property; The majority of the adjacent and nearby properties are zoned low-density residential (R-7.5). The applicant currently owns additional property to the north and south of the subject site.
88-515-08-C. Physical character of the area in which the subject property is located; The surrounding area generally slopes downward to this subject site. There are some existing trees that could potentially provide visual screening between the existing building

Ordinance Number

	nendations			
	Jeffrey Williams, AICP, Director Department of City Planning & Development			
Sponsors				
Programs,	3rd District (Ellington, Robinson)			
Departments or	Stu District (Ellington, Robinson)			
Groups Affected				
Groups Arrected	Applicant Deport Haudine			
	Applicant Robert Hawkins			
	5200 Raytown Rd			
	Kansas City, MO 64133			
Applicants / Proponents City Department				
				City Planning & Development
	Other			
	Groups or Individuals			
_				
Opponents	Basis of Opposition			
	V 5			
	X For			
Staff				
Recommendation	Against			
Recommendation				
	Reason Against			
	City Plan Commission (7-0) 08-17-2021			
	By Baker, Beasley, Crowl, Enders, Hill, Rojas,			
	by baker, beasiey, crowr, criders, miii, kojas,			
	·			
Board or	Sadowski			
Commission	·			
	Sadowski			
Commission	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions			
Commission	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken			
Commission	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions			
Commission	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions			
Commission	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions)			
Commission	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass			
Commission	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions)			
Commission	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended)			
Commission	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass			
Commission Recommendation	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended)			
Commission Recommendation	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended)			
Commission Recommendation Council Committee	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended) Committee Sub.			
Commission Recommendation Council Committee	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended) Committee Sub.			
Commission Recommendation Council Committee	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended) Committee Sub. Without Recommendation			
Commission Recommendation Council Committee	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended) Committee Sub. Without Recommendation Hold			
Commission Recommendation Council Committee	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended) Committee Sub. Without Recommendation			
Commission Recommendation Council Committee	Sadowski X For Against No Action Taken For, with revisions or conditions (see details column for conditions) Do Pass Do Pass (as amended) Committee Sub. Without Recommendation Hold			

and the nearby homes.					
88-515-08-D. Whether public facilities (infrastructure) and services will be adequate to serve development allowed by the requested zoning map amendment; The existing public facilities are adequate for the proposed request and the existing building.					
88-515-08-E. Suitability of the subject property for the uses to which it has been restricted under the existing zoning regulations; The current zoning allows for single-family residential uses and very limited commercial uses. The proposed zoning seems appropriate to allows for limited retail sales, while also maintaining the character of the area.					
88-515-08-F. Length of time the subject property has remained vacant as zoned; The property has been vacant for approximately 3 years.					
88-515-08-G. The extent to which approving the rezoning will detrimentally affect nearby properties; and The property has historically operated various commercial businesses on the site. The proposed zoning district of B1-1 seems appropriate for the site. In addition, several of the commercial uses of the B1 zoning would still require a special use permit, even if the rezoning is approved.					
88-515-08-H. The gain, if any, to the public health, safety, and welfare due to denial of the application, as compared to the hardship imposed upon the landowner, if any, as a result of denial of the application. The hardship imposed upon the landowner has already prevented the applicant from using the property for commercial uses for approximately 3 years.					
CPC RECOMMENDATION On August 17, 2021 the CPC recommended approval of CD-CPC-2021-00093 without conditions.					
Continued from Page 1	Policy or Program Change	Y	es	No No	
	Operational Impact Assessment				

Finances
Cost & Revenue Projections – Including Indirect Costs
Financial Impact
Funding Source(s) and Appropriation Account Codes

Continued from Page 2

Fact Sheet Prepared By: Date: 08-26-2021

Date: 08-26-2021

Zach Nelson Staff Planner

Initial Application Filed: 05-10-2021

City Plan Commission: 08-17-2021

Revised Plans Filed: N/A

Reviewed By:
Jeff Williams

Director City Planning &

Development Department

Reference Numbers:

Case No. CD-CPC-2021-00093